CONTROL PERFORMANCES OF THE BI-BUCK INTERFACE USED FOR INVERTER CURRENT RIPPLE COMPENSATION
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Abstract- The control of the bi-buck structure used as active power interface between fuel cells stack and inverter system is further analysed in this paper. The objective of this analysis is to determine the control performances of the proposed bi-buck power interface [1] concerning the low frequency fuel cell current ripple compensation. Therefore two feedback control loops are used: a DC output voltage control for buck converter which operates as controlled DC voltage source, and a current ripple active compensation loop for buck converter operates controlled AC current source. The operation of the nonlinear loops gain is shown by simulation. Matlab-Simulink models and some designing aspects are presented, too.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Fuel Cell with Proton Exchange Membrane (PEMFC) is a DC voltage generator, whose output voltage is dependent on the load current. They have been considered as alternative DC power sources for vehicles, energy generation systems (EGS), backup power sources, etc. In engineering applications, PEMFC stack are usually arranged with auxiliary power sources, such as batteries and ultracapacitors, to form hybrid systems [2-7].

The Multi-port Power Converter (MPC) allows the charging of the ultracapacitors stack from regenerative load power flows and from other possible power flows marked by broken line (Figure 1).

Power interface has two control loops: one for adjusting the output voltage value imposed by the reference voltage, $V_{DC}$, and other for inverter current ripple compensation [8-13]. Both control loops and control surface variables are presented in Figure 2.

In this paper the analysis will be concentrate on designing of the current nonlinear controller. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section II presents firs results concerning the design of current control loop. The bang-bang control performances are shown and compared with two other well know control methods that use a switching command. The obtained results are compared and evaluated concerning the output current ripple and dynamic performances. The designing of the nonlinear control uses these results and the nonlinear control designing are briefly explains in section III. Section IV presents some selected simulation results for bi-buck interface using a pattern for input inverter current (DC current with AC ripple) which are modelled by a dynamic power load. Last section concludes the paper.

Figure 1. Bi-buck and multi-port power converter topology
II. CURRENT CONTROL LOOP DESIGNING

Obviously, the response time and fuel cell current ripple minimization is dependent by the bi-buck interface inductance ratio, $L_{buck2}/L_{buck1}$, and by controller type. In the following section simulations we considered $L_{buck2}=L_{buck1}=50\mu H$.

The Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) technique is the usually technique to control power converter, in particular the output current of the buck current source (Figure 3). An improved dynamic is obtained using a tuned proportional-integral (PI) regulator.

Simulation result for clocked Peak Current Control (PCC) technique is shown in Figure 4.

For simplicity of design, reliability or economic reasons, we choose the Bang-Bang Control (BBC) law for implementing the switching command for buck current source (Figure 2). Simulation result for BBC technique is shown in Figure 5.

If a proper $L_{buck}$ value is chosen, the simulation results show that all these control methods have almost the same dynamic performances. This obvious if we consider that switching frequency (triangle signal frequency for the PWM control and clock signal frequency for PCC control) doesn’t limit the buck converter dynamic. A buck inductance value up to 50µH gives big HF current ripple for the buck current source, and a bigger value reduce response time. The effect of the bi-buck interface inductance ratio on current ripple compensation will be shown in the simulation section.
III. NONLINEAR CURRENT CONTROL LOOP DESIGNING

Harmonics of the simulated inverter current [1] have the values shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The load current harmonics value for frequency $f$ [Hz], $H_{L_f}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value for $H_{L_{100}}$</th>
<th>$H_{L_{200}}$</th>
<th>$H_{L_{300}}$</th>
<th>$H_{L_{400}}$</th>
<th>$H_{L_{500}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[A]</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>1.995</td>
<td>2.9925</td>
<td>4.275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obviously, the gain of the PEMFC current ripple, $G_{Ifc}$, plays a significant role in the active compensation of the low frequency inverter current harmonics with a buck current source that use a bang-bang current control. Table 2 shown the normal PEMFC current harmonics level (as ratio of the load current harmonics level), and these results are represented in Figure 6.

Table 2. First five harmonics of the normal PEMFC current

| The PEMFC current harmonics value normalized to the load current harmonics value is the normal PEMFC current harmonics for frequency $f$ [Hz], $H_f$ |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $G_{Ifc}$ | $H_{100}$ | $H_{200}$ | $H_{300}$ | $H_{400}$ | $H_{500}$ |
| 1     | 2,500  | 0.500   | 0.200   | 0.700   | 0.250   |
| 20    | 0.410  | 0.120   | 0.200   | 0.210   | 0.350   |
| 40    | 0.220  | 0.070   | 0.140   | 0.150   | 0.200   |
| 60    | 0.160  | 0.050   | 0.100   | 0.110   | 0.185   |
| 80    | 0.115  | 0.045   | 0.075   | 0.090   | 0.150   |
| 100   | 0.095  | 0.038   | 0.065   | 0.075   | 0.130   |
| 120   | 0.085  | 0.035   | 0.055   | 0.068   | 0.115   |
| 200   | 0.055  | 0.020   | 0.040   | 0.049   | 0.088   |

The active compensation start-up of the inverter current harmonics, $I_{out}$, by the buck current source, $I_{out2}$, is shown in Figure 7 for $G_{Ifc}=100$. 

Figure 7.a. Bi-buck interface start-up: PEMFC (cells number=60; cell area=100 cm²; time constant=20 ms), $I_{ref}=55$ A, $L_{buck1}=L_{buck2}=0.1$ mH and $G_{Ifc}=100$

Figure 7.b. Bi-buck interface start-up: PEMFC (cells number=60; cell area=100 cm²; time constant=20 ms), $I_{ref}=40$ A, $L_{buck1}=1$ mH, $L_{buck2}=0.01$ mH and $G_{Ifc}=100$
In Figure 7 are shown (from top to down) the following signals in time: PEMFC voltage, $V_{\text{in}}$, and bi-buck output voltage in the first plot; the obtained PEMFC output current, $I_{\text{fc}}$, in the second plot; the simulated inverter current, $I_{\text{ref}}$, and the bi-buck interface currents, $I_{\text{out1}}$ and $I_{\text{out2}}$, and the fuel cell current ripple, $\Delta I_{\text{fc}}=I_{\text{fc}}-I_{\text{ref}}$, are presented in following three plots; the last two plots shown the bang-bang command signals.

The nonlinear characteristic law of the PEMFC current ripple control loop (nonlinear control block, $G_{\text{Ifc}}$, which is marked in a circle in Figure 2) is modelled by a look-up Table 3.

### Table 3. The look-up table for nonlinear control block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel cell current ripple, $\Delta I_{\text{fc}}=I_{\text{fc}}-I_{\text{ref}}$ [A]</th>
<th>$&lt;0$</th>
<th>$\Delta I_{\text{fc}}$ (min)</th>
<th>$\Delta I_{\text{fc}}$ (max)</th>
<th>$&gt;\Delta I_{\text{fc}}$ (max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gain, $G_{\text{Ifc}}$</td>
<td>$-I_{\text{ref}}$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The knee values, $\Delta I_{\text{fc}}$ (min) and $\Delta I_{\text{fc}}$ (max), are chosen to minimize the normal fuel cell current ripple (% of the DC fuel cell current) less than 5% (as reported in the references [14-17]). For $\Delta I_{\text{fc}}$(min)=0.15[A] and $\Delta I_{\text{fc}}$(max)=1[A] the fuel cell current ripple and its power spectrum are shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. Harmonics of the fuel cell current](image)

**Figure 8.** Harmonics of the fuel cell current

### IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The effect of the bi-buck interface inductance ratio on current ripple compensation is shown in Figure 9 for different values, $L_{\text{buck1}}$ and $L_{\text{buck2}}$. Observe that a $L_{\text{buck1}}$ value less than 50µH gives big HF current ripple for the buck current source (Figure 9.a). Good results concerning fuel cell current ripple minimization are obtained for $L_{\text{buck1}}=1\text{mH}$ and $L_{\text{buck2}}=0.1\text{mH}$ (Figure 9.b). A better filtering of the HF current ripple is obtained for a bigger value of the main inductance, $L_{\text{buck1}}$, but this is not a good option for large currents.

The DC output voltage ripple includes the inverter ripple and buck ripple, and this is spread in a large frequencies band by the proposed chaotifying technique [1,11]. For an approximately 2kW load (30V/71A) and a filtering capacitor, $C_{f}=47\mu\text{F}$, the output voltage ripple is less than 500mV (Figure 10).

![Figure 9. The effect of the bi-buck interface inductance values on current ripple compensation](image)

**Figure 9.** The effect of the bi-buck interface inductance values on current ripple compensation

![Figure 10. DC output voltage of bi-buck power interface (top) and associate frequencies spectrum (bottom)](image)

**Figure 10.** DC output voltage of bi-buck power interface (top) and associate frequencies spectrum (bottom)
V. CONCLUSIONS

It is obviously that PEMFC time constant gives only the moment when the active compensation start-up effectively, and doesn’t affect the compensation performance. Therefore in simulation this was set to a value smaller than the real value (hundred of milliseconds), in order to speed up simulations.

This paper presents a method to minimize the inverter ripple effect on the PEMFC source. The fuel cell current ripple is minimized by an active compensation of the low frequency current harmonics with a buck current source supplied by an auxiliary source. Remaining power spectrum is spread by chaotification of the buck voltage source behaviour. In both current and voltage loops is used a nonlinear controller, designed to obtain a small fuel cell current ripple and a small DC output voltage ripple, respectively. Simulation results using Matlab modelling are promising.
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