

## PDH CLUSTERING IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

H. Sedghani M. Zolfy Lighvan

Computer Engineering Department, Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran  
h.sedghani91@ms.tabrizu.ac.ir, mzolfy@tabrizu.ac.ir

**Abstract-** In this paper a Priority based dynamic clustering with hierarchical cluster head method named PDH-Clustering is presented. Wireless sensor network (WSN) with hundreds of sensor nodes are distributed to receive data from the environment. They are able to analyze and monitor the environment. Nowadays, using of WSNs is increasing. Thus, detection of its obstacles and consideration of them is important. One of the major obstacles in WSN is an energy-efficient routing protocol to enhance the network life time. Many energy-efficient routing protocols proposed for WSNs are based on clustering method. In this paper, a new energy effective routing method is presented which is based on dynamic clustering. The proposed algorithm is verified with MATLAB simulator. Simulation results show that the new method could balance the energy consumption and increase the stable period of network compared to LEACH protocol.

**Keyword:** Wireless Sensor Networks, Energy Efficiency, Dynamic Clustering, Multi-Hop Routing, MATLAB.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Each Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) consists of a Base Station (BS) and a number of wirelessly connected tiny sensor nodes. These nodes receive information from the environment and send it to the BS according to a routing method. The sensor nodes are usually distributed in areas that are not easily accessible by humans, such as war zones or in forests. So, they are not usually rechargeable. Therefore, energy efficiency is one of the most important issues in these networks [1]. One of the most effective issues on energy consumption is the routing method because incorrect routing will result in imbalance energy consumption which cause fast energy level reduction of nodes. So with increasing the nodes lifetime, the network lifetime will be increased.

In direct method, each sensor sends the information to BS directly. Because of the long distance between nodes and the BS, direct method consumes a lot of energy. Against, the methods, that shorten communication distance, can prolong the network lifetime. Therefore, multi-hop routing is more efficient and cost-effective than one-hop routing. But, in multi-hop routing communication between nodes consumed a lot of energy [2].

One of the solutions for this problem is clustering. Clustering means division of nodes to several groups in the network where each group has a CH (Cluster Head) that collects data from other nodes in the cluster and send it to BS. Clustering can reduce communication cost between nodes [3]. Many routing protocol are based on this method, for example LEACH [4], DEEC [3], TEEN [5], SEP [6], and PEGASIS [7], are some of them.

In this paper an efficient clustering method has been presented in which some clusters include a pair of cluster heads. The organization of the rest of this paper is as follow. Section II includes the related work part. Then, the proposed method will be described in Section III. In Section IV the simulation result will be shown. And then, in Section V, conclusions are done.

### II. RELATED WORK

So far, several routing algorithms have been presented for wireless sensor networks. One of the clustering based algorithms is Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy (LEACH). It is an adaptive and self-organized clustering protocol proposed by Heinzelman [4, 8, 9]. The operation of LEACH is composed of some rounds where each round begins with a setup phase followed by a steady-state phase. The clusters are organized in setup phase, and then in the steady state phase the data is transferred from nodes to the CH and then to the BS (Base Station).

In this algorithm, at the beginning of every round each node makes the decision of being the cluster head or not. This decision is made based on the random number generated by the node. If the value of  $T(n)$  function was smaller than the generated random number, the node will be selected as the cluster head. Otherwise, the node will be act as a normal node.  $T(n)$  is calculated with Equation (1) [4].

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{P}{1 - P * (r \bmod (\frac{1}{P}))} & \text{if } n \in G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $P$  is the ratio of the cluster heads to total nodes.  $r$  is the current round number,  $G$  is the set of the nodes that have not been chosen as the cluster head in the first  $1/P$  rounds. After the clusters get formed, the nodes begin to transmit data to their cluster heads. Cluster heads also sends received data to the BS [4].

Hierarchical clustering algorithms increase lifetime of WSN considerably. In [10] the paper focus on heterogeneity of nodes regarding their energy. It is assumed that the sensor nodes are equipped with small amount of energy and the nodes are not mobile. It is also given that the heterogeneous networks contained two types of nodes namely, type-1 node and type-0 node, where type-1 node has more battery power than type-0 node. Cluster formation and cluster head selection are done based on weighted election probabilities of each node.

Hybrid Energy Distributed Protocol (HEED) [11] is a multi-hop wireless sensor network clustering algorithm that brings an energy-efficient clustering routing with explicit consideration of energy. It divides sensor nodes in different clusters using distributed algorithm. This protocol uses average energy (energy required to a sensor node to transmit a unit of data to CH) as parameter to select CH. For a given sensor node which can be potential CH, Average Minimum Reach-ability Power (AMPR) is calculated. AMRP is the measure of expected intra communication energy consumption for a node if it becomes a CH.

In [12] an energy aware routing protocol is proposed to perform admission control, appointment of bandwidth requirements and the evaluation of sensor's residual energy. By adopting selective forwarding method in accordance to the sensor location, the delay of carried flows is optimized. The major limitation regarding this work is that the work completed with static sensor nodes without the consideration of node's mobility.

Another well-known algorithm is PEGASIS [7]. The main idea of this method is that each node receives data from its nearest neighbor and sends it to the next nearest neighboring. In this way, data transmit between the nodes as a chain. In every round, one node is randomly selected as the leader. The leader receives information from the neighboring nodes, collects and combines it, then sends it to the BS.

For example, in Figure 1,  $c_2$  is chosen as the leader node and receive data from  $c_1$  and  $c_0$  and then sends it to the BS. So each node will be selected as the leader one time in each n rounds [7, 10].




Figure 1. Chain in PEGASIS [7]

Another clustering algorithm in [13] is named EACA. It is a distributed algorithm and it used residual energy of sensor nodes, and distance from the neighbors to selected the best cluster heads and converts a flat network to a hierarchical structure. EACA reduces energy consumption compared to the LEACH algorithm.

A coverage based energy efficient algorithm is proposed in [14]. This paper emphasize that multi-hop short range communication among the sensor nodes are more energy efficient than single-hop long range communication comparatively.

Moreover, the paper is involved in making uniform distribution of CHs using non-overlapped cluster areas. The main purpose is achieving higher packet reception rate irrespective to network longevity.

Another work in [15] propose MG-LEACH (Multi Group Based LEACH) that also focuses on the aforementioned criteria in a different domain such that same redundant nodes are located in the same area.

DCGT [16] is another distributed clustering algorithm, which used game theoretical approach for clustering. Simulation results demonstrate that DCGT reduce energy consumption compared to the LEACH and CORSS [17] algorithm.

### III. PROPOSED METHOD

Routing in wireless sensor networks is very important. And in clustering, two critical subjects for cluster heads selection are: 1) remaining energy of nodes 2) nodes to sink distance

*Remaining energy of nodes:* cluster heads collect data from other nodes of the same cluster and send it to the sink which consumes a lot of energy. Because of higher energy consumption level in cluster heads, those should be having more energy compared to the other nodes. Thus, the more the reminding energy of a node is, the more the chance of being a cluster head will be.

*Nodes to sink distance:* The more distance cause the more energy consumption. Thus, the nearer nodes to the sink have more chance for becoming a cluster head.

The method proposed in this paper considers both of *Remaining energy of nodes* and *nodes to sink distance* criteria. It is inspired from the Highest Response Ratio Next (*HRRN*) algorithm that is being used in operating systems for Scheduling Processes. *HRRN* is a non-preemptive algorithm in which each node has its own defined priority. The node with the maximum priority is being selected for resource allocation. The priority value is calculated as Equation (2): [18]

$$\text{priority} = \frac{\text{wating time} + \text{estimated run time}}{\text{estimated run time}} \quad (2)$$

#### A. Primary Cluster Head Selection

In this paper, a priority has been given to each node which is calculated as Equation (3):

$$\text{priority} = \frac{\text{reminding energy} + \text{distance from sink}}{\text{distance from sink}} \quad (3)$$

The node with the maximum priority value will be selected as a cluster head. For example, if there are n nodes in a field and  $P \times n$  cluster heads are required,  $P \times n$  nodes with maximum priorities will be selected.

The proposed method consists of several rounds. Each round has two phases, a setup phase and a steady state phase. In the first phase, cluster heads are selected based on its priority and the clusters are formed. Then, in the second phase, data is transmitted to the base station.

#### B. Secondary Cluster Head Selection

A secondary cluster head will be selected if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- 1) The reminding energy of the primary cluster head is less than the average reminding energy of total nodes.
- 2) The distance of the primary cluster head to sink is more than the average distance from each node to sink.

Based on the conditions above, a cluster may have a secondary cluster head. If a cluster needs a secondary cluster head, the node with maximum energy will be selected.

### C. The Phases of the Proposed Method

The proposed method consists of several rounds. Each round has two phases, a setup phase and a steady state phase, like LEACH. In the first phase, cluster heads are selected using the proposed algorithm that had explained above and the clusters are formed. Then, in the second phase, data will be transmitted to the sink.

#### C.1. Setup Phase

In setup phase, all of the nodes send its information to the sink as a control packet. The information of a node consist of its energy and its ID. Sink has the position of the nodes. So, it can calculate the distance between itself and them. It also calculates the average of node's energy. Sink calculates the priority of the nodes and selects  $P \times n$  nodes with maximum priorities. Then, sink broadcasts a message consists of the average of node's energy and node's ID and position that have been selected as cluster heads. Each normal node that receives this message, computes the distance between itself and the related cluster head and joins to the cluster that is closer to its cluster head.

Each node sends a message to its cluster head and advertise itself as a member of that cluster. Then, all clusters have been create. Each normal sensor node is the member of only one cluster. TDMA protocol is used for receiving data from normal nodes by cluster heads and the normal nodes, which is not their time slot to send their data, will be deactivated to save their energy.

#### C.2. Steady State Phase

In steady state phase, all nodes send sensed data to the cluster head or secondary cluster head, with the TDMA schedule. If the cluster doesn't need to a secondary cluster head, primary cluster head receives data from normal node and directly sends it to the sink after fusion. Otherwise, secondary cluster head collects data from normal nodes and if the distance between the secondary cluster head and the sink is less than the distance between the primary cluster head and sink, secondary cluster head sends collected data to the sink, else, secondary cluster head sends collected data to the primary cluster head and it forward them to the sink.

The advantage of the proposed method is that selection of cluster head is very easy and it hasn't heavy calculation, on the contrary of some algorithms such as genetic algorithm, and cluster head selection has been done easily.

### D. First Order Wireless Transmission Model

First-order wireless communication model has been used for data transmission in this paper, which is shown in Figure 2 [19].




Figure 2. The wireless communication model [19]

The total energy consumed in the model showed in Figure 4 is calculated by Equation (4) and (5) [20]:

$$E_{Tx}(L, d) = \begin{cases} LE_{elec} + L\epsilon_{fs}d^2, & d \leq d_0 \\ LE_{elec} + L\epsilon_{mp}d^4, & d > d_0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$E_{Rx}(L) = LE_{elec} \quad (5)$$

where  $E_{elec}$  represents the energy consumed to send or receive one bit message,  $\epsilon_{fs}$  is the amplification coefficient of free-space signal,  $\epsilon_{mp}$  is the multi-path fading signal amplification coefficient which depends on the circuit amplifier model;  $d$  represents the distance between sender and receiver;  $L$  is the length of sending information in bits. The  $d_0$  is calculated by Equation (6) [20]:

$$d_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{fs}}{\epsilon_{mp}}} \quad (6)$$

## IV. SIMULATION AND ANALYSES

Matlab 7.8.0 has been used as simulation platform to evaluate the performance of the new PDH-Clustering algorithm and compare it with LEACH protocol.

### A. Simulation Parameters

Simulation scenarios in this paper are:

1. Sensor nodes are distributed in a square region randomly.
2. Sensor nodes are homogeneous and each node has a unique ID number throughout the network and nodes' energy is limited. The node's site is fixed after deployed.
3. The base station is in the center of circles with fixed location.
4. Nodes communicate with BS via single-hop or multi-hop methods

In this simulation, 200 nodes are spread randomly within the square area of the  $100 \times 100$  m, the base station is located in the center, the base station coordinates is (50, 175). The specific parameters are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Simulation environment parameters

Parameters		Parameters	
Data packet size	4000 bits	area	100*100
Eelec	50 nJ/bit	Nodes number	200
Efs	10 pJ/bit/m <sup>2</sup>	Initial energy	0.5 J
Emp	0.0013 pJ/bit/m <sup>4</sup>	CH proportion = <i>p</i>	7%
EDA	5 nJ/bit	BS location (50, 175)	
Control packet size	200 bits		

**B. Simulation Results Analysis**

In WSN, the network life is divided into stable and unstable period. Stable period usually means the time from the beginning of the simulation until the first node dies, the unstable period refers to the time from the death of first node to the end of simulation [16].

When nodes start to die, the network operation may become unstable and data transfer may become unreliable. Therefore, the longer stable period causes the higher network performance.

The proposed method increases the stable period of the network compared to the LEACH and HEED methods which uses a dynamic clustering protocol. Figure 3 shows the comparison of the network lifetimes in these methods.




Figure 3. The network lifetime of total nodes

Figure 4 shows network life time until the death of 160 nodes. First node dies in the round 403 in LEACH protocol and 722 in HEED protocol, where in the new proposed method the first node dies in the round 1006. Therefore, the proposed method increases the network stable period to about 600 rounds compared to LEACH protocol and about 300 rounds compared to HEED protocol. The percentage of stable period in whole lifecycle of the network in LEACH Protocol is 31% and in HEED protocol is 47% where in our PDH-Clustering protocol is 70%. The stable period percentage in PDH-Clustering algorithm has 23% improvement compared to HEED protocol and 39% compared to LEACH. This indicates that the performance of improved protocol is much better than the LEACH and HEED Protocols.




Figure 4. The network lifetime until the death of 160 nodes

Figure 5 shows the energy consumption diagram which proves that the proposed method consume lower energy compared to LEACH and HEED protocols.




Figure 5. The total energy consumption

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we introduce a novel dynamic clustering method for wireless sensor networks. In the proposed PDH-Clustering method a priority has been considered for each node and primary cluster heads has been selected based on this priority. Then, in some cases based on the illustrated condition a secondary cluster head has been selected. Matlab simulation results of these methods proves that PDH-Clustering method in compared to the algorithms with dynamic clustering, such as LEACH, has lower energy consumption and longer network lifetime.

**REFERENCES**

[1] O. Zytoune, Y. Fakhri, D. Aboutajdine, "A Balanced Cost Cluster Heads Selection Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Networks", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 2009.  
 [2] K. Sohraby, D. Minoli, T. Znati, "Wireless Sensor Networks: Technology, Protocols, and Applications", IEEE Communications Magazine, 2007.

- [3] L. Qing, Q. Zhu, et al., "Design of a Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering Algorithm for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks", *Computer Communications*, August, Vol. 29, No. 12, pp. 2230-2237, 2006.
- [4] W. Heinzelman, A. Chandrakasan, H. Balakrishnan, "Energy Efficient Communication Protocol for Wireless Microsensor Networks (LEACH)", 33rd Hawaii International Conference Systems Science, 2004.
- [5] A. Manjeshwar, D.P. Agarwal, "TEEN: A Routing Protocol for Enhanced Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks", 1st International Workshop on Parallel and Distributed Computing Issues in Wireless Networks and Mobile Computing, April 2001.
- [6] G. Smaragdakis, I. Matta, A. Bestavros, "SEP: A Stable Election Protocol for Clustered Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks", Boston University Computer Science Department, 2004.
- [7] S. Lindsey, C.S. Raghavendra. "PEGASIS: Power Efficient Gathering in Sensor Information Systems", IEEE Aerospace Conference, Big Sky, Montana, 2002.
- [8] S. Ozdemir, Y. Xiao, "Secure Data Aggregation in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Comprehensive Overview", *Computer Networks*, Vol. 53, pp. 2022-2037, August 2009.
- [9] H.S. Lee, K.T. Kim, H.Y. Youn, "A New Cluster Head Selection Scheme for Long Lifetime of Wireless Sensor Networks", ICCSA, 3983, 2006.
- [10] D. Kumar, T.C. Aseri, R.B. Patel, "EEHC: Energy Efficient Heterogeneous Clustered Scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks", *Journal of Computer Communications*, Vol. 32, pp. 662-667, 2009.
- [11] O. Younis, S. Fahmy, "HEED: A Hybrid, Energy Efficient, Distributed Clustering Approach for Ad Hoc Sensor Networks", *IEEE Trans. Mobile Computing*, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 366-379, Oct.-Dec. 2004.
- [12] M.A. Koulali, et al. "A QoS Geographic and Energy Aware Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks", *International Symposium on I/V Communications and Mobile Network (ISVC)*, 2007.
- [13] H. Barati, A.M. Rahmani, A. Sarmast, "A Distributed Energy Aware Clustering Approach for Large Scale Wireless Sensor Network", *International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE)*, Issue 13, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 125-132, December 2012.
- [14] A. Thakkar, K. Kotecha, "CVLEACH: Coverage based energy efficient LEACH", *International Journal of Computer Science and Network*, Vol. 1, No. 3, 2012.
- [15] H. Haneef, Z. Wenxun, Z. Deng. "MG-LEACH: Multi Group Based LEACH an Energy Efficient Routing Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Network", 14th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology, 2012.
- [16] N. Bagherzadeh Karimi, S.N. Razavi, H.S. Aghdasi, "Distributed Clustering in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Game Theoretical Approach", *International*

*Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE)*, Issue 18, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 1-8, March 2014.

[17] G. Koltsidas, F.N. Pavildou, "A Game Theoretical Approach to Clustering of Ad-Hoc and Sensor Networks", *Journal of Telecommunication Systems*, Springer, Vol. 47, pp. 81-93, 2011.

[18] A. Silberschatz, P. Bear Galvin, G. Gagne, "Operating System concepts", 6th Ed, 2002.

[19] W.B. Heinzelman, A.P. Chandrakasan, H. Balakrishnan, "An Application Specific Protocol Architecture for Wireless Microsensor Networks", *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 660-670, Oct. 2002.

[20] C. Fu1, Z. Jiang1, W. Wei, A. Wei, "An Energy Balanced Algorithm of LEACH Protocol in WSN", *International Journal of Computer Science*, January 2013.

## BIOGRAPHIES



**Hamta Sedghani** received the B.Sc. degree in Computer Engineering (Software) from Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran in 2010. Currently, she is pursuing her M.Sc. degree in Computer Engineering while working as a Researcher at the Embedded System Laboratory (ESL) of Electrical and Computer Engineering Faculty of Tabriz University, Tabriz, Iran. Her current interests include energy conservation and clustering in wireless sensor networks.



**Mina Zolfy Lighvan** received the B.Sc. degree in Computer Engineering (Hardware) and M.Sc. degree in Computer Engineering (Computer Architecture) from ECE Faculty, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran in 1999, 2002, respectively. She received Ph.D. degree in Electronic Engineering (Digital Electronic) from Electrical and Computer Engineering faculty of Tabriz University, Tabriz, Iran. She currently is an Assistant Professor and works as a Lecturer in Tabriz University. She has more than 20 papers that were published in different national and international conferences and Journals. Her major research interests include text retrieval, object oriented programming & design, algorithms analysis, HDL simulation, HDL verification, HDL fault simulation, HDL test tool VHDL, Verilog, hardware test, CAD tool, synthesis, digital circuit design & simulation.