uternational Journal UTPE Great Sectors of Control of C	"Technical ar Published	International Journal or nd Physical Problems of (IJTPE) by International Organizatio	۲ Engineering" n of IOTPE	ISSN 2077-3528 IJTPE Journal www.iotpe.com ijtpe@iotpe.com
December 2022	Issue 53	Volume 14	Number 4	Pages 319-324

DESIGN OF AN ADAPTIVE NEURO-FUZZY INFERENCE SYSTEM FOR RECOGNITION PATTERN PROBLEM

M. Modar O. El Farissi

Research Team of Materials Mechanics and Civil Engineering, National School of Applied Sciences, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco, m.modar@uiz.ac.ma, o.elfarissi@uiz.ac.ma

Abstract- Statistical Process Control (SPC) chart is one of the most crucial methods for surveilling a manufacturing flow and decide whether it is running under its normal pattern or unnatural one. Since, the recognition of control chart patterns (CCP) is gaining increasingly attention in last years as long as it greatly upgrades process quality. Artificial intelligence (AI) provides several tools for the recognition of all types of CCP; however, the accurate recognition of these patterns remains an important challenge. In the current work, an adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) network is applied as a recognizer because of its good performance shown in pattern recognition. Covering six typical patterns in CCP problems and improving the ANFIS suggested by validating its architecture using industrial data provided from the Tennessee Eastman Process (TEP), are the main contribution of this work. The theoretical results show that the proposed architecture of ANFIS-CCP gives good performance and the experimental results on TEP process demonstrate the potency of this architecture.

Keywords: Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System, Control Chart Pattern, Recognition, Statistical Process Control.

1. INTRODUCTION

Statistical process control (SPC) is an approach that improves the quality and reliability of products through great control of variability. The objectives of the SPC are [1]: Give operators a machine control tool, formalize the production machine capability concept and sort out ordinary situations and extraordinary situations that require action. Essentially, the control chart applies statistical limitations to a series of points that reflect a monitored manufacturing flow [2]. Control charts are a relevant tool for monitoring a process performance. Interpretation of control chart pattern is a way to determine the manufacturing process behavior and make the appropriate decision based on this interpretation. The Western Electric Company (1958) listed 15 different types of CCPs: Normal, trend, shift, cyclic, systematic, stratification, mixture, freaks, and interaction, etc. Pattern that is operating under controlled conditions with assignable causes variations is called normal pattern.

All other unnatural patterns are indicating the presence of non-assignable cause's variation leading to an out-ofcontrol process. In general, six basic patterns are widely exhibited by control chart as mentioned in Figure 1 [3, 4]: • Normal (NOR): Over a sequence of sample points, the pattern shows no indication of being out of control. There is no trend, neither unexpected change. It remains steady.

• Cyclic (CYC): which are brief statistical trends, that appear repeatedly and have a succession of high sections or summits, separated by low portions. Common causes are seasonal effects such as temperature and humidity.

• Increasing or decreasing trend (IT/DT): is defined as steady flow up or down; a long series of points without a change of direction. Apparent reasons are tool wear, poor maintenance, increases or decreases in production schedules.

• Upward Shift and Downward Shift (US/DS): a sudden shift in level is shown by a positive change in one direction. Typical causes include new operator, new machine, and change in set-up or method.



Extensive researches have been carried out on the recognition of CCP's field using several machine learning algorithms particularly expert systems, supervised and unsupervised artificial neural network (ANN) [5], support vector machine (SVM) and fuzzy systems [6]. These techniques try to extract relevant knowledge through enormous datasets in order to identify process disturbances with lowest time and expense and maximum precision [7]. The performance to be achieved lies on ease of implementation, precision, system running speed, and datasets size. In this paper, we used an adaptive neurofuzzy inference system (ANFIS) for recognition of six patterns (NOR, CYC, IT/DT, US/DS). This approach combines ANNs with fuzzy-logic concept. The ANFIS has shown to be effective tool for pattern recognition, function approximation, prediction and system identification [6-8].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System

Fuzzy inference system alias fuzzy-rule-based system or Fuzzy model was first introduced by Takagi and Sugeno [9] and then used in many applications especially controlling, prediction and inference [10]. Inference system uses existing data to infer new knowledge. Jyh-Shing Roger Jang [11] have proposed his new architecture called ANFIS in 1993 as a fuzzy inference system applied whitin the context of adaptive networks.

ANFIS use the potential of neural networks to generate the fuzzy if \Rightarrow then rules with the fitting membership function to perform the inference. An adaptive network is a multilayer feedforward network where every node processes a specific function (Node function) on input signals as well as a group of values related to this node [12]. Based on Takagi and Sugeno's works, a basic structure of ANFIS with two inputs and one output is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. ANFIS basic structure [12]

The characteristics of a square node are different from those of a circular node. An adaptive network's parameter set is the concatenation of the parameter set off all adaptive nodes. These values are adjusted depending on the training data and a gradient-based learning technique to achieve the required input-output mapping [11].

2.2. Generating Data

Building and validating a CCP structure requires huge real manufacturing measurements. Since this amount of data is extremely hard to bring from real processes, simulated data is generally used for this purpose [13]. The following equations [6], shown in Table 1, are widely adopted in literature for simulating the six CCPs; We deployed MATLAB function Randn([p, n]), which creates a *p*-by-*n* matrix of normally distributed random numbers.

Table 1. Parameters for simulating CCP [6]

CCP	parameters	Pattern Equation	
NOR	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean} (\mu) \\ \text{and} \\ \text{Standard} \\ \text{deviation} (\sigma) \end{array}$	$\mu + \sigma \times \operatorname{randn}([p, n])$	(1)
CYC	Amplitude (a) and Period (T)	$\mu + \sigma \times \operatorname{randn}([p,n]) + a \sin((2\pi I) / T)$ where, $\begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix} : I_{ij} = 0$ for $i \le p/3$, and $I_{ij} = 1$ for $i > p/3$, and $a = 25$; $T = np$	(2)
IT	Gradient (g)	$\mu + \sigma \times \operatorname{randn}([p, n]) + I \times g$	(3)
DT	Gradient (g)	$\mu + \sigma \times \operatorname{randn}([p,n]) - I \times g$ where, $[I]: I_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \le p/3,$ and $I_{ij} = 1 \text{ for } i > p/3,$ and $g = 0.3$	(4)
SN	Shift magnitude (s) and Shift position (P)	$\mu + \sigma \times \operatorname{randn}([p, n]) + Ks$ where, $[K]: K_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \le p/3$, and $K_{ij} = 1 \text{ for } i > p/3$, and $s = 4$	(5)
SQ	Shift magnitude (s) And Shift position (P)	$\mu + \sigma \times \operatorname{randn}([p,n]) - Ks$ where, $[K]: K_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \le p/3,$ and $K_{ij} = 1 \text{ for } i > p/3,$ and $s = 4$	(6)

2.3. ANFIS Structure

Figure 3 bellow shows the ANFIS structure used as a classifier and adopted in our work. Inputs are Average \bar{X} and Standard deviation S of the n observations. The output corresponds to the normalized coding affected for each pattern. The training example was divided for training, and testing the network in to 70%, 30% respectively.

The training input is an (2)-by-(6xp) matrix as illustrated in the following Figure 4.

2.4. Setting Parameters of FIS Generation Methods

Parameters are set as mentioned in Table 2 and Table 3, for the three Sugeno-type FIS generation approaches used in this work.



Figure 3. Basic ANFIS structure

t data	\overline{X}	MAL	CLIC	NG TREND	NG TREND	T UP	DOWN	ıt data
Input	S	NOR	СУС	INCREASI	DECREASI	SHIF	SHIFT	Outpu

Figure 4. Training input matrix

Table 2. Parameters for each Genfis

Genfis 1: Grid Partitioning				
Number of MFs	5			
Input MF Type	Gaussmf			
Output MF Type	Linear			
Genfis 2: Subtractive Clustering				
Influence Radius	0.3			
Genfis 3: Fuzzy C-mean				
Number of Clusters	15			
Partition Matrix Exponent	2			
Maximum Number of iterations	200			
Minimum Improvement	1×10-5			

Fable 3.	Parameters	for	all	Genfis
----------	------------	-----	-----	--------

Genfis 1, 2 and 3	Parameters
Maximum Number of Epochs	200
Error Goal	0
Initial Step Size	0.01
Step Size Decrease Rate	0.9
Step Size Increase Rate	1.1

There are four techniques to tune the parameters in the training FIS procedure [14]:

Gradient Descent Only; Gradient Descent and One Pass of LSE; Gradient Descent and LSE; Sequential (Approximate) LSE Only. In this study, we used the hybrid-learning algorithm, which led to the best performance. It applies both least-squares method and the standard learning algorithm of ANFIS, which is backpropagation gradient descent.

3. TESTS AND RESULTS

3.1. Test Setup

The MATLAB scientific computing environment's fuzzy logic package is a useful tool for building fuzzy-logic-based systems. It includes several functions that enable practitioner to create and evaluate fuzzy inference systems, as well as adaptive inference systems and fuzzy classification [15]. Its frontend interface (GUI) enhances the phases of designing a neural FIS.

Simulink, on the other hand, gives fuzzy for simulating fuzzy systems inside a full model of the entire dynamic system [16]. The design and implementation of the proposed ANFIS-CCPR has been realized under MATLAB/SIMULINK environment 2014a, and we followed the step-by-step diagram below in the Figure 5.



Figure 5. MATLAB coding diagram

3.2. Performance Metrics

Performance indicators (error measurements) are critical factors of several evaluation models. They are implemented in machine learning during the training and testing phases of the evaluation procedure [17]. To assess the performance of the suggested ANFIS recognizer [18], we have conducted comparison with the different FIS generation methods based on Pearson's correlation coefficient R (An R equal to 1 indicates a close link whereas 0 means an arbitrarily correlation) and two commonly used metrics as listed on Table 4 [19].

3.3. Results and Discussion

Table 5 compares accuracy between actual and expected outcomes for every training method.

Metric Name	Equation	Notation
Mean Squared Error (<i>MSE</i>)	$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2} $ (7)	To simplify, we assume that we have <i>n</i> samples
Root Mean Squared Error (<i>RMSE</i>)	$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^2_{i}} $ (8)	of model errors e calculated as $(e_i, i=1,2,,n)$

Table 4. Performance metrics [19]

Table 5. Comparison of the performance indicators

FIS GENERATION APPROCH	Genfis1	Genfis2	Genfis3
R	7.7997 e-01	9.2325 e-01	0.99995
MSE	1.7136 e-01	4.3325 e-02	11.406 e-03
RMSE	4.1396 e-01	2.0815 e-01	1.831 e-01
Error Mean	1.6302 e-02	-2.7937 e-03	3.7088 e-03
Error St.D.	4.1387 e-01	2.0824 e-01	1.067 e-01

The results presented above shows that Genfis3 (FCM) gives the best values for the three criteria R, MSE and RMSE. Figure 6 describe that the coefficient R is close to one and Figure 7 shows that we achieved a good result considering the predicated target is close enough to the actual target.



Figure 6. Coefficient of correlation R for the used model



SampleIndex MSE = 0.011406, RMSE = 0.1998, ERROR MEAN = 0.0037088, ERROR ST.D. = 0.1067



Figure 7. Comparison between actual targets and predicted targets

4. APPLICATION TO INDUSTRIAL DATA: TENNESSEE EASTMAN PROCESS

The corporate process control group for Tennessee Eastman Chemical Company has offered, many years ago, to the academic community there very challenging chemical process database. It aims to test and validate algorithms, models or patterns from any contrived process control problem with a realistic industrial dataset [20]. This process gives a large number of variables and data that can be used to validate our ANFIS-CCP model. We considered the Tennessee Eastman (TEP) reference process, shown in Figure 8.

The reactor, product condenser, vapor-liquid separator, recycled compressor and product stripper are the five primary activities in this chemical process. The TEP has 12 controllable variables and 41 measured ones. The return rate is mainly left out the variable as well as the other 52 variables are utilized to describe the complete manufacturing flow rate. Detailed data are given in [21] and [22].

In this study, we analyze the Defect (IDV4) (Table 8 [23]) which represents the reactor cooling water input temperature. The reactor cooling water flow variable (V51), as shown in Figure 9, can explain The IDV4 disruption. We can see that process disruptions have the greatest impact the behavior of the reactor coolant flow. It demonstrates that the flow dramatically rises and may be attributed to a step change in a process variable. To detect this drift, our model ANFIS-CCPr is applied. As can be seen in Figure 9, the change value is very large and our model easily detects this change in cooling water flow rate of the reactor (V51).



Figure 8. The process model of Tennessee Eastman Process [21]



Figure 9. The reactor cooling water flow and ANFIS-CCPr

5. CONCLUSION

ANFIS is widely used in CCPR but still a challenging problem in implementation. This study represents an improved ANFIS-CCPR design in two aspects: extending the recognition of CCP to six patterns and confronting the proposed ANFIS design to real industrial data. We evaluated the proposed architecture using a simulated data set and compared it based on the three FIS generation methods. The simulation results indicate that our model classifies the patterns in an excellent way when adopting Fuzzy Cmean approach. Finally, we assessed our model as second evaluation by using TEP extracted Data. The technique used is capable of detecting easily the step change of the tracked variable.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Pillet, "Applying Statistical Process Control SPC", Editions d'Organisation, Paris, France, 2008.

[2] Western Electric Company Inc, "Statistical Quality Control Handbook", The Mack Printing Company, Easton, Pennsylvania, USA, 1956.

[3] Z. Xueliang, J. Pingyu, W. Xianxiang, "Recognition of Control Chart Patterns using Fuzzy SVM with a Hybrid Kernel Function", Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, Issue 1, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 51-67, January 2018.

[4] D.C. Montgomery, "Introduction to Statistical Quality Control", John Wiley and Sons Inc., Arizona, USA, 2009.
[5] W. Hachicha, A. Ghorbel, "A Survey of Control-Chart Pattern-Recognition Literature (1991-2010) Based on a new Conceptual Classification Scheme", Computers and Industrial Engineering, Issue 1, Vol. 63, No.1, pp. 204-222, 2012.

[6] A.A. Kalteh, S. Babouei, "Control Chart Patterns Recognition using ANFIS with new Training Algorithm and Intelligent Utilization of Shape and Statistical Features", ISA Transactions, Vol. 102, pp. 12-22, July 2020.

[7] Y.E. Shao, Y.T. Hu, "Using Machine Learning Classifiers to Recognize the Mixture Control Chart Patterns for a Multiple-Input Multiple-Output Process", MATHEMATICS, Issue 1, Vol. 8, No. 102, January 2020.
[8] J. Ramezani, J. Jassbi, "Quality 4.0 in Action: Smart Hybrid Fault Diagnosis System in Plaster Production", PROCESSES, Issue 6, Vol. 8, No. 634, June 2020.

[9] T. Takagi, M. Sugeno, "Fuzzy Identification of Systems and its Applications to Modeling and Control", IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Vol. SMC-15, No. 1, pp. 116-132, January-February 1985.

[10] Y. Dogrul Y. Aslan, "Single Phase Static Reactive Power Compensation with Adaptive Fuzzy-PID by using PLC", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 26, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 45-49, March 2016.

[11] J.S.R. Jang, "ANFIS: Adaptive-Network-Based Fuzzy Inference System", IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 665-685, June 1993.

[12] A. Rezaeifar, R. Effatnejad, A. Dehghani Tafti, "Turbine Dynamics Study Control using Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS)", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 17, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 81-87, December 2013.

[13] T. Zan, Z.H. Liu, Z.F. Su, M. Wang, X.S. Gao, D.Y. Chen, "Statistical Process Control with Intelligence Based on the Deep Learning Model", Applied Sciences-Basel, Issue 1, Vol. 10, No. 308, January 2020.

[14] H. Marzi, AH. Darwish, H. Helfawi, H. Humam, "Training ANFIS Using the Enhanced Bees Algorithm and Least Squares Estimation", Intelligent Automation and Soft Computing, Issue 2, Vol. 23, pp. 227-234, 2017.

[15] A. Nurnberger, D. Nauck, R. Kruse, L. Merz, "A Neuro-Fuzzy Development Tool for Fuzzy Controllers under MATLAB/SIMULINK", The 5th European Congress on Intelligent Techniques and Soft Computing, Aachen, Germany, March 2000.

[16] H. Knappe, "Fuzzy Systems in Computer Sciences: Comparison of Conventional and Fuzzy-Control of Non-Linear Systems", pp. 75-87, Munchen, Germany, 1994.

[17] A. Botchkarev, "Evaluating Performance of Regression Machine Learning Models using Multiple Error Metrics in Azure Machine Learning Studio", SSRN Electronic Journal, January 2018.

[18] N. Molla Esmaili, Sh. Hoshiary, L. Rastgou, S. Rajebi, "Comparison and Checking Classification in Pattern Recognition", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 23, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 39-45, June 2015.

[19] S. Makridakis, M. Hibon, "Evaluating Accuracy (or Error) Measures", INSEAD Working Papers Series 95/18/TM. Fontainebleau, 1995.

[20] DF. Xie, L. Bai, "A Hierarchical Deep Neural Network for Fault Diagnosis on Tennessee-Eastman Process", The 14th International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA), pp. 745-748, 2015.

[21] T. Larsson, K. Hestetun, E. Hovland, S. Skogestad, "Self-Optimizing Control of a Large-Scale Plant: The TEP Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research", Vol. 40, No. 22, pp. 4889-4901, 2001.

[22] A. Bathelt, N.L. Ricker, M. Jelali, "Revision of the Tennessee Eastman Process Model", The 9th IFAC Symposium on Advanced Control of Chemical Processes, Vol. 48, No. 8, pp. 309-314, 2015.

[23] J.J. Downs, E.F. Vogel, "A Plant-Wide Industrial Process Control Problem", Computers and Chemical Engineering, Vol. 17, No .3, pp 245-255, 1993.

BIOGRAPHIES



Mohammed Modar was born in Sale, Morocco in November 1980. He received the Master degree in industrial engineering from National School of Applied Sciences, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco in 2004. He joined the research team of Materials, Mechanics

and Civil Engineering Team (E2MGC), National School of Applied Science (ENSA), Ibn Zohr University. His research interests include statistical control process, machine learning and artificial neural networks.



Omar El Farissi was born in Morocco in February 1972. He received the Ph.D. degree in Mechanical Engineering from College of Science, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco in 2017. Currently, he is a Professor in mechanical engineering and Deputy Head of Mechanical and Civil

Department of the same university. He is a member of the Laboratory of Metrology and Information's Treatment (LMIT), FSA and Head of Research Team of Materials Mechanics and Civil Engineering (E2MGC) at the same university. His current research field is AI tools in mechanical and industrial engineering (machining, metrology, 3D printing, SPC).