| Journal |] "Technical an Published | International Journal on d Physical Problems of I (IJTPE) by International Organizatior | Engineering" | ISSN 2077-3528 IJTPE Journal www.iotpe.com ijtpe@iotpe.com |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------|---|
| December 2022 | Issue 53 | Volume 14 | Number 4 | Pages 357-362 |
| | | | | |

ARTIFICIAL MODEL FOR PREDICTION OF FLEXURAL STRENGTH OF A PLA PROTOTYPE PRINTED

L. Hamouti O. El Farissi O. Outemsaa M. Modar

National School of Applied Sciences, University of Ibn Zohr, Agadir, Morocco lahcen.hamouti@edu.uiz.ac.ma, o.elfarissi@uiz.ac.ma, omar.outamsaa@edu.uiz.ac.ma, m.modar@uiz.ac.ma

Abstract- The research of mechanical properties of 3D printed parts is a primary objective for most researchers since experimental studies still test samples to characterize these mechanical properties and deformations on printed prototypes. The process control of additive manufacturing, especially the mechanical properties of the printed parts is so difficult because of a large number of parameters that influence these properties. As such, the combination of these parameters makes the process expensive. That is why previous investigations that deal with the same subject are limited in their study to some parameters. The objective of this study is to take advantage of the performance of artificial intelligence tools and build artificial models that can take advantage of some experimental results in order to predict the desired property (flexural strength).

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Neural Network, Additive Manufacturing, 3D printing, Flexural Strength.

1. INTRODUCTION

The process of additive manufacturing, otherwise known as 3D printing, is still a new sector that has undergone a rapid evolution due to an accelerated development of computer means, including information analysis techniques. The sector of additive manufacturing, which offers a number of possibilities, advantages, and complexity of products, has attracted the attention of more researchers especially in the industrial fields. Also, the quality of the printed parts, namely the mechanical properties and its deformations under various requests, depends on a lot of process parameters.

Artificial intelligence tools, thanks to their flexibility and performance, have been found in several sectors [1], [2, 3], and their qualities can be an effective means to develop artificial models that take into consideration the maximum number of process parameters and that give an optimum relationship between many parameters and the desired property.

Collection research has been carried out on a set of experimental studies. The collection of reliable information is mandatory to create a data base that can be used in the training of artificial models. The results of the different studies have been elaborated with different parameters, such as: Temperature and Infill density [4]; Printing temperature, Orientation and Layer thickness [5]; Layer Thickness, Feed rate, Build orientation [14]; Printing temperature, Layer thickness, Printing speed [15], Layer Thickness, Infill speed, Nozzle temperature [16]; Layer Thickness, Build Orientation [17]; Raster direction, Layer Thickness, Build Orientation [18]; infill density and infill speed [19].



Figure 1. Cause and effect diagram [6]

2. FDM PROCESS PARAMETERS

The set of causes affecting in general the properties of the printed prototypes [6] are shown in Figure 1. Table 1 indicates a set of studies that were interested in the study of the materials and that have carried out experiments on the PLA, used in the process FDM.

| Table 1. Summary of research that addresses experiments w | ith 3D |
|---|--------|
| printed parts | |

| Def | A uth an/a (waan) | Mataria1/a | Testing |
|------|--|--------------|-------------------|
| Rei | Author/s (year) | Material/s | Technique/s |
| [8] | A. Kaptan, F. Kartal (2020) | PLA | Tensile- flexural |
| [0] | Tion at al. (2016) | PLA and | Flexural and |
| [9] | 11an, et al. (2010) | Carbon fibre | SEM |
| [10] | H. Gonabadi, A. Yadav, S.J. Bull (2020) | PLA | Tensile |
| | | PLA and | Tensile, |
| [11] | Li, et al. (2016) | Carbon | Flexural, DMA, |
| | | Fibre | SEM |
| | G. Cwikla, C. Grabowik, | | |
| [12] | K. Kalinowski, I. Paprocka, | PLA | Tensile |
| | P. Ociepka | | |
| [14] | J.M. Chacon, M.A. Caminero, | ΡΙΔ | Tensile and |
| [17] | E. Garcia-Plaza, P.J. Nunez (2017) | TLA | Flexural |
| [20] | Harpool (2016) | PLA | Tensile |
| [21] | Alafaghani, et al. (2017) | PLA | Tensile |
| [22] | Behzadnasab and Yousefi (2016) | PLA | Tensile and |
| | M.D. Avetallahi A. Nahavi Kivi | | Kneological |
| [22] | NI.K. Ayatoliani, A. Nabavi-Kivi, | DI A | Tanaila |
| [23] | D. Damanii, W. Yazid Yanya, | FLA | rensile |
| | WI.K. KHUSIAVAIII (2020) | | |

The marketing of PLA materials, respect the values of the mechanical properties represented in Table 2. The process parameters in addition to the feed rate, directly influence the properties of the print prototype Figure 2.

The data shown in Table 3 are the results of a series of experiments conducted with the aim of measuring the flexural strength of PLA prototypes. The experiments follow the methods ASTM D638. The variables of experience are the speed of advance (F_r) , the layer thickness (L_t) , and the temperature of the printing nozzle maintained at T = 210 °C. The study of these results in ref. [14] has given regression models shown in Table 4.

3. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK MODELLING

The artificial neural network model is applied to predict the flexural strength in an ideal way. The training is carried out on the basis of the collected experimental data. The parameters that are taken into account are the feed rate and layer thickness. Figure 3 represents the artificial neural network diagram.

For the Upright orientation, the choice of neural network parameters is shown in Table 5, a series of iterations showed the impact of each parameter on the MSE (Mean Squared Error) network performance.

From the MSE values of these iterations, we notice that reduced MSE of 6.36×10^{-14} is obtained at iteration number 9 with the following parameters:

- Learning algorithm LM: Levenberg-Marquardt
- Hidden layer activation function: Logsig
- Number of neurons: 10
- Output layer activation function: Purelin



Figure 2. Process parameters, (a) Build orientation, (b) Layer thickness [14]

Table 2. Typical ranges of mechanical properties for PLA materials [13]

| Properties | PLA |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Tensile Strength (MPa) | 15.5-72.2 |
| Tensile Modulus (GPa) | 2.020-3.550 |
| Elongation at Break (%) | 0.5-9.2 |
| Flexural Strength (MPa) | 52-115.1 |
| Flexural Modulus (GPa) | 2.392-4.930 |

Table 3. Flexural test results of the samples and process parameter ranges [14]

| Build Orientation | | | Flexural strength | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | Orientation | $F_r=20 \text{ mm/s}$ | $F_r = 50 \text{ mm/s}$ | $F_r = 80 \text{ mm/s}$ | |
| | | | σ_t (MPa) | σ_t (MPa) | σ_t (MPa) | |
| 90 | I I | Upright | 25.1 | 15.4 | 14.3 | |
| 0= | nn | On-edge | 53.0 | 52.8 | 65.0 | |
| $L_{\vec{r}}$ | 1 | Flat | 53.0 | 55.3 | 56.0 | |
| 12 | =0.12 nm | Upright | 34.5 | 32.2 | 23.8 | |
| Ö. | | On-edge | 64.8 | 64.2 | 61.3 | |
| $L_{\vec{r}}$ | Flat | 51.4 | 47.0 | 49.0 | | |
| 18 | I I | Upright | 29.8 | 29.9 | 19.4 | |
| Ö. | 0= mm | On-edge | 62.9 | 62.0 | 61.0 | |
| $L_{r^{=}}$ | Flat | 51.4 | 53.4 | 51.4 | | |
| 24 | =0.24 nm | Upright | 32.4 | 31.0 | 28.4 | |
| 0 | | On-edge | 61.1 | 61.7 | 64.2 | |
| L_{r} | Flat | 46.3 | 46.0 | 46.2 | | |

Table 4. Regression models for construction orientations in bending strength tests [14]

| Orie | ntation | Optimum Model |
|----------|---------|---|
| al th | Upright | $F_s = 394.365L_t - 1084.36L_t \times L_t - 0.00129941F_r \times F_r$ |
| nx | On Edge | $F_s = 622.043L_t + 0.538345F_r - 2.85053L_t \times F_r - 1429.2L_t \times L_t$ |
| Fle | Flat | $F_s = 548.51L_t + 0.516918F_r - 1365.74L_t \times L_t - 2.88973L_t \times F_r$ |



Figure 3. The neural network diagrams

Table 5. The choice of neural network parameters

 J.

| No. | Algorithm | Hidden lay activation function | Number of neurons | Output laye activation function | MSE |
|-----|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | LM | logsig | 20 | logsig | 4.23 |
| 2 | LM | logsig | 20 | tansig | 1.16×10 ⁻¹¹ |
| 3 | LM | logsig | 20 | purelin | 3.39×10 ⁻⁶ |
| 4 | LM | logsig | 15 | logsig | 0.6 |
| 5 | LM | logsig | 15 | tansig | 9.09×10 ⁻¹² |
| 6 | LM | logsig | 15 | purelin | 1.71×10 ⁻¹¹ |
| 7 | LM | logsig | 10 | logsig | 0.6 |
| 8 | LM | logsig | 10 | tansig | 5.44×10 ⁻¹³ |
| 9 | LM | logsig | 10 | purelin | 6.36×10 ⁻¹⁴ |
| 10 | LM | tansig | 20 | logsig | 9.14×10 ⁻² |
| 11 | LM | tansig | 20 | tansig | 0.49 |
| 12 | LM | tansig | 20 | purelin | 6.55×10 ⁻² |
| 13 | LM | tansig | 15 | logsig | 7.05×10 ⁻² |
| 14 | LM | tansio | 15 | tansio | 0.36 |
| 15 | LM | tansio | 15 | nurelin | 3 3×10 ⁻² |
| 16 | LM | tansio | 10 | logsig | 6.81×10 ⁻² |
| 17 | LM | tansia | 10 | tansio | 0.21 |
| 18 | IM | tansia | 10 | nurelin | 1.07×10 ⁻³ |
| 10 | LM | nuralin | 20 | logeig | 1.07×10 |
| 20 | LM | purchin | 20 | topsig | 21.0 |
| 20 | LM | purelin | 20 | nuralin | 26.2 |
| 21 | LIVI | pureim | 20 | lassia | 20.2 |
| 22 | LIVI | purenn | 15 | logsig | 29.9 |
| 23 | LM | purelin | 15 | tansig | 23.0 |
| 24 | LM | purelin | 15 | purelin | 18.3 |
| 25 | LM | purelin | 10 | logsig | 20.8 |
| 26 | LM | purelin | 10 | tansig | 13.7 |
| 27 | LM | purelin | 10 | Purelin | 10.5 |
| 28 | BR | logsig | 20 | logsig | 5.66×10 ⁻³ |
| 29 | BR | logsig | 20 | tansig | 5.8/×10 ⁻² |
| 30 | BR | logsig | 20 | purelin | 7.21×10 ⁻³ |
| 31 | BR | logsig | 15 | logsig | 5.04×10 ⁻³ |
| 32 | BR | logsig | 15 | tansig | 5.54×10 ⁻² |
| 33 | BR | logsig | 15 | purelin | 7.45×10-3 |
| 34 | BR | logsig | 10 | logsig | 4.57×10-3 |
| 35 | BR | logsig | 10 | tansig | 5.13×10 ⁻² |
| 36 | BR | logsig | 10 | purelin | 8.61×10 ⁻³ |
| 37 | BR | tansıg | 20 | logsig | 0.1 |
| 38 | BR | tansıg | 20 | tansıg | 0.11 |
| 39 | BR | tansıg | 20 | purelin | 0.023 |
| 40 | BR | tansig | 15 | logsig | 0.12 |
| 41 | BR | tansig | 15 | tansig | 0.18 |
| 42 | BR | tansig | 15 | purelin | 0.037 |
| 43 | BR | tansig | 10 | logsig | 0.14 |
| 44 | BR | tansig | 10 | tansig | 0.19 |
| 45 | BR | tansig | 10 | purelin | 0.056 |
| 46 | BR | Purelin | 20 | logsig | 40.3 |
| 47 | BR | Purelin | 20 | tansig | 33.9 |
| 48 | BR | Purelin | 20 | purelin | 21.7 |
| 49 | BR | Purelin | 15 | logsig | 45.1 |
| 50 | BR | Purelin | 15 | tansig | 39.8 |
| 51 | BR | Purelin | 15 | purelin | 25.6 |
| 52 | BR | Purelin | 10 | logsig | 53.4 |
| 53 | BR | Purelin | 10 | tansig | 42.8 |
| 54 | BR | purelin | 10 | purelin | 28.3 |
| 55 | GD | logsig | 20 | logsig | 5.9×10 ⁻² |
| 56 | GD | logsig | 20 | tansig | 6.26×10 ⁻¹ |

| 57 | GD | logsig | 20 | purelin | 3.01×10-3 |
|----|----|---------|----|---------|------------------------|
| 58 | GD | logsig | 15 | logsig | 5.66×10 ⁻² |
| 59 | GD | logsig | 15 | tansig | 5.88×10 ⁻¹ |
| 60 | GD | logsig | 15 | purelin | 2.59×10-3 |
| 61 | GD | logsig | 10 | logsig | 5.41×10 ⁻² |
| 62 | GD | logsig | 10 | tansig | 5.1×10 ⁻¹ |
| 63 | GD | logsig | 10 | purelin | 2.33 ×10 ⁻³ |
| 64 | GD | tansig | 20 | logsig | 0.0077 |
| 65 | GD | tansig | 20 | tansig | 0.092 |
| 66 | GD | tansig | 20 | purelin | 0.035 |
| 67 | GD | tansig | 15 | logsig | 0.0038 |
| 68 | GD | tansig | 15 | tansig | 0.079 |
| 69 | GD | tansig | 15 | purelin | 0.029 |
| 70 | GD | tansig | 10 | logsig | 0.0023 |
| 71 | GD | tansig | 10 | tansig | 0.056 |
| 72 | GD | tansig | 10 | purelin | 0.02 |
| 73 | GD | Purelin | 20 | logsig | 82.3 |
| 74 | GD | Purelin | 20 | tansig | 73.4 |
| 75 | GD | Purelin | 20 | purelin | 33.9 |
| 76 | GD | Purelin | 15 | logsig | 74.3 |
| 77 | GD | Purelin | 15 | tansig | 52.9 |
| 78 | GD | Purelin | 15 | purelin | 29.4 |
| 79 | GD | Purelin | 10 | logsig | 58.2 |
| 80 | GD | Purelin | 10 | tansig | 45.7 |
| 81 | GD | purelin | 10 | purelin | 23.5 |

The constructed model is trained from the results of experiments with 70% of the data while the validation is done with 30% of the data. Finally, the test is carried out with also 30% of the data. The best regressions of training and validation with the best correlation coefficient are represented in Figure 5, Figure 7, Figure 9 for each orientation. The artificial model with neural network succeeded in predicting an improved way the resistance to flexural. The output of network reduced the error between the predicted values and the values of experiments compared with the experimental model.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results calculated by the network are shown. The artificial neural network models show a very high power to predict the flexural from the test results. As depicted output predicted by the network is well consistent with the experimental results, but the deviation from the experimental model is very small. As shown in Figure 4, for upright orientation the output predicted by the network is well consistent with the experimental results.



Figure 4. Comparative graphs of target and output values of bending strength for upright orientation



Figure 5. Plot regression for upright orientation

Similarly, for on edge orientation Figure 6, the predicted output is always much closer to the experimental values when compared with the results calculated by the experimental regression model.



Figure 6. Comparative graphs of target and output values of bending strength for on edge orientation



Figure 7. Comparative graphs of target and output values of bending strength for Flat orientation



Figure 8. Plot regression for on edge orientation.

Finally, for Flat orientation Figure 7, always the bending strength predicted by the network is even more improved and closer to the experimental results. The correlation coefficient plot in Figures 5, 8 and 9 for each orientation, represents the best coefficients found after a series of iterations.



Figure 9. Plot regression for Flat orientation

5. CONCLUSIONS

This contribution is first a preliminary study with the aim of collecting maximum experimental results by working on the same materials with the same standard of test. One knows well that the applications of artificial intelligence are based on the availability of the data which are costly; hence the importance of this first step. Second, given that the large number of experiments are expensive, it is essential to take advantage of artificial intelligence tools (ANN) and exploit these limited data. The goal is to build a model ANN performance by choosing its parameters, the subject of this study, so we made 81 iterations to choose the optimal parameters of our model ANN.

The difficulty in general in the applications of artificial intelligence is the collection of training data. To have efficient ANN models, it is necessary to have a large number of data, which is not always possible. The second difficulty is to have correct data or normative data. The third difficulty is when we have applications with a large number of parameters. In this case, the combination between these parameters becomes so difficult.

NOMENCLATURES

1. Acronyms

FDM Fused Deposition Modeling Learning algorithm:

LM Levenberg-Marquardt

- BR Bayesian Regularization
- GD Gradient Descent

Activation function:

Log logsig

Tan tansig

Pure purelin

2. Parameters

* Upright Orientation

ExpModUprightFlexural: Regression model of flexural *NetUprightFlexural*: The values calculated by the neural network model of flexural

OUPUTUprightFlexural: Actual values measured by flexural experiment

**Onedge Orientation

ExpModOnedgeFlexural: Regression model of flexural

NetOnedgeFlexural: The values calculated by the neural network model of flexural

OUPUTOnedgeFlexural: Actual values measured by flexural experiment

***Flat Orientation

ExpModFlatFlexural: Regression model of flexural

NetFlatFlexural: The values calculated by the neural network model of flexural

OUPUTFlatFlexural: Actual values measured by flexural experiment

REFERENCES

[1] D.J.S. Agron, C.I. Nwakanma, J. Lee, D. Kim, "Smart Monitoring for SLA-type 3D Printer using Artificial Neural Network", No. August, pp. 3-6, 2020.

[2] A. Bahani, E.H. Ech Chhibat, H. Samri, H.A. El Attar, "Intelligent Modeling and Simulation of the Inverse Kinematics Redundant 3-Dof Cooperative Using Solid Works and MATLAB/Simmechanics", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 50, Vol. 14, No.1, pp. 78-88, March 2022.

[3] O. Outemsaa, O. El Farissi, L. Hamouti, "Optimization of Cutting Parameters and Prediction of Surface Roughness in Turning of Duplex Stainless Steel (DSS) Using a BPNN and GA", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 51, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 234-239, June 2022.

[4] D. Yadav, D. Chhabra, R. Kumar, A. Ahlawat, A. Phogat, "Proceedings Optimization of FDM 3D Printing Process Parameters for Multi-Material Using Artificial Neural Network", Materials Today, 2019.

[5] M. Pant, R.M. Singari, "Wear Assessment of 3-D Printed Parts of PLA (Polylactic Acid) Using Taguchi Design and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Technique Wear Assessment of 3-D Printed Parts of PLA (Polylactic Acid) Using Taguchi Design and Artificial Neural Network (ANN)", Materials, Vol. 14, No. 1, p. 163, December 2020.

[6] A.J. Sheoran, H. Kumar, "Proceedings Fused Deposition Modeling Process Parameters Optimization and Effect on Mechanical Properties and Part Quality: Review and Reflection on Present Research", Materials Today, Vol. 21, pp. 1659-1672, 2019.

[7] S. Bhagia, et al., "Tensile Properties of 3D-Printed Wood-Filled PLA Materials Using Poplar Trees", Appl. Materials Today, Vol. 21, p. 100832, 2020.

[8] A. Kaptan, F. Kartal, "The Effect of Fill Rate on Mechanical Properties of PLA Printed Samples", J. Inst. Sci. Technol., Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 1919-1927, 2020.

[9] X. Tian, et al., "Interface and Performance of 3D Printed Continuous Carbon Fiber Reinforced PLA Composites", Compos. Appl. Sci. Manuf. Vol. 88, pp. 198-205, 2016.

[10] H. Gonabadi, A. Yadav, S.J. Bull, "The Effect of Processing Parameters on the Mechanical Characteristics of PLA Produced by a 3D FFF Printer", The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, No. 111, pp. 695-709, 2020.

[11] N. Li, Y. Li, S. Liu, "Rapid Prototyping of Continuous Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polylactic Acid Composites by 3D Printing", Journal of Materials Processing Technology, No. 238, pp. 218-225, 2016.

[12] G. Cwikla, C. Grabowik, K. Kalinowski, I. Paprocka, P. Ociepka, "The Influence of Printing Parameters on Selected Mechanical Properties of FDM/FFF 3D-Printed Parts", IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng., Vol. 227, No. 1, 2017.

[13] M.A. Caminero, J.M. Chacon, E. Garcia Plaza, P.J. Nunez, J.M. Reverte, J.P. Becar, "Additive Manufacturing of PLA-Based Composites Using Fused Filament Fabrication: Effect of Graphene Nanoplatelet Reinforcement on Mechanical Properties, Dimensional Accuracy and Texture", Polymers, Vol. 11, No. 5, Basel, Switzerland, 2019.

[14] J.M. Chacon, M.A. Caminero, E. Garcia Plaza, P.J. Nunez, "Additive Manufacturing of PLA Structures Using Fused Deposition Modelling: Effect of Process Parameters on Mechanical Properties and their Optimal Selection", Materials and Design, Vol. 124, pp. 143-157, 2017.

[15] L.P.T. Huynh, H.A. Nguyen, H.Q. Nguyen, L.K.H. Phan, T.T. Tran, "Effect of Process Parameters on Mechanical Strength of Fabricated Parts Using the Fused Deposition Modelling Method", Journal of the Korean Society for Precision Engineering, Vol. 36, No. 8, pp. 705-712, 2019.

[16] R.V. Pazhamannil, P. Govindan, P. Sooraj, "Prediction of the Tensile Strength of Polylactic Acid Fused Deposition Models Using Artificial Neural Network Technique", Materials Today, Vol. 46, pp. 9187-9193, 2019.

[17] "Investigation on Influence of Infill Pattern and Layer Thickness on Mechanical Strength of PLA Material in 3D Printing Technology", Journal of Engineering and Science Research, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 27-37, 2019.

[18] M.M. Hanon, L. Zsidai, Q. Ma, "Accuracy Investigation of 3D Printed PLA with Various Process Parameters and Different Colors", Materials Today, Vol. 42, pp. 3089-3096, 2021.

[19] Y. Tao, P. Li, L. Pan, "Improving Tensile Properties of Polylactic Acid Parts by Adjusting Printing Parameters of Open-Source 3D Printers", Medziagotyra, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 83-87, 2020.

[20] T.D. Harpool, "Observing the Effects of Infill Shapes on the Tensile Characteristic", Master's Theses, Wichita State University, December 2016.

[21] A. Alafaghani, et al., "Experimental Optimization of Fused Deposition Modelling Processing Parameters: A Design for Manufacturing Approach", Procedia Manufacturing, Vol. 10, 2017.

[22] M. Behzadnasab, A. Yousefi, "Effects of 3D Printer Nozzle Head Temperature on the Physical and Mechanical Properties of PLA Based Product", The 12th International Seminar on Polymer Science and Technology Effects of 3D Printer Nozzle Head Temperature on the Physical and Mechanical Properties of PLA Based Product, pp. 3-5, November 2016.

[23] M.R. Ayatollahia, A. Nabavi Kivia, B. Bahramia, M. Yazid Yahyab, M. Reza Khosravanic, "The Influence of in-Plane Raster Angle on Tensile and Fracture Strengths of 3D-Printed PLA Specimens", Engineering Fracture Mechanics, Vol. 237, p. 107225, 2020.

BIOGRAPHIES



Lahcen Hamouti was born in Morocco 1989. He graduated with a specialized master degree from Mohammed V University, Souissi, Rabat, Morocco in 2013. He is a doctoral student at National School of Applied Sciences, ENSA, University of Ibn Zohr, Agadir, Morocco. Currently, he is a lecturer of engineering sciences. His current interests are the integration of artificial intelligence tools into additive manufacturing processes.



Omar El Farissi was born in Morocco 1972. He received the Ph.D. degree in mechanical engineering from National School of Applied Sciences, ENSA, University of Ibn Zohr, Agadir, Morocco. He is an Associate Professor, and Certificate of Aggregation. He is

responsible of mechanical engineering field, Deputy Head of Mechanical and Civil Engineering Department, E2MGC research team (Materials, Mechanics and Civil Engineering Team) at the same university. He is currently working on the application of AI tools in the fields of mechanical and industrial engineering (machining, metrology, 3D printing)



Omar Outemsaa was born in Morocco 1990. He is doctoral student at National School of Applied Sciences, ENSA, University Zohr, of Ibn Agadir, Morocco. He graduated with а specialized master degree from Mohammed V University, Souissi Rabat,

Morocco in 2014. He joined the research team (Materials, Mechanics and Civil Engineering Team) E2MGC in 2019. His current research field is the application of AI in machining in CNC.



Mohammed Modar was born in Sale, Morocco, 1980. He received the engineering degree in industrial engineering from National School of Applied Sciences, University of Ibn Zohr, Agadir, Morocco in 2004. He is currently working as an Industrial

Engineer at University of Ibn Zohr where he is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree. His research interests include statistical control process, machine learning and artificial neural networks.