And	] "Technical an Published	International Journal or Id Physical Problems of (IJTPE) by International Organizatio	۲ Engineering″ n of IOTPE	ISSN 2077-3528 IJTPE Journal www.iotpe.com ijtpe@iotpe.com
March 2023	Issue 54	Volume 15	Number 1	Pages 135-139

# ANALYTICAL EXPRESSIONS OF RELATIONSHIP TO CALCULATION OF AC STABILIZER WITH INDUCTION LEVITATION

G.S. Kerimzade

Faculty of Engineering, Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Baku, Azerbaijan, gulschen98@mail.ru

Abstract- In the presented work, an analysis of the calculation and design of an alternating current stabilizer with induction levitation is given. First of all, attention is drawn to the magnetic system, the main task is to obtain performance characteristics for accurate optimal stabilization of the load current. For this purpose, a symmetrical magnetic system was chosen for the stabilizer, and as a result, a uniform magnetic field was obtained in the working air gap. For this, the III-shaped core magnetic circuit is adopted as the most acceptable. In some cases, a stepped symmetrical III-shaped magnetic circuit is proposed. Such designs meet the requirements of simple and multi-rated AC stabilizers with induction levitation. Therefore, this design is used as a generalized design in the design of various stabilizers. The most difficult task of design is to determine the analytical relationships between the initial data and geometric dimensions. The solution of the problem requires the development of a mathematical model of the system of equations of electrical, mechanical, magnetic, thermal circuits. The solution of these equations contributes to the creation of analytical relationships between the initial data for the design, the course of the levitation winding  $X_M$ , the gravity force  $P_T$ , the cross-sectional area of the windings and the core ( $S_o$ ,  $S_{02}$ ,  $S_c$ ), of the required power  $P_M$ .

**Keywords:** Current Stabilizer, Levitation Coordinate, Induction, Levitation Winding, Excitation Winding, Parameter, Size, Coefficient, Calculation, Design, Core.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The initial data for calculating an AC stabilizer with induction levitation are: the range of mains voltage change  $\Delta U_c$ , load currents  $I_{load1}$ ,  $I_{load2}$ , ....  $I_{load,n}$  mains voltage frequency  $\omega$ , load resistance  $R_{load}$  (or power  $P_{load}$ ), travel of the  $X_M$  moving part. As a result of the calculation, the geometric dimensions and overheating temperatures of the windings and the core are determined. Usually, the number of stabilizer parameters to be determined is greater than the number of equations. Therefore, when calculating the stabilizer, a number of well-known values of electrical, electromagnetic, and design parameters are used. The main task of designing an AC stabilizer with induction levitation is to determine the analytical relationships between geometric dimensions and initial data. The main task in the calculation is to reduce the overall dimensions and weight. Therefore, it is necessary to increase the electromagnetic and electrical loads (magnetic induction  $B_M$  and current density in the windings  $j_1$  and  $j_2$ ). However, with an increase in magnetic induction, losses in the core increase, and with an increase in current density, copper losses in the windings increase. In some cases, the levitation condition  $P_T = F_E$  is violated. With an increase in losses, it is possible, within certain limits, to increase the temperature rises of the core and windings, since the insulation period depends on the overheating temperature.

With a decrease in the geometric dimensions of the windings, the cooling surface decreases and the amount of heat increases. Therefore, in order to maintain a constant temperature of the windings, with a decrease in the power of the stabilizer, it is necessary to increase the calculated values of magnetic induction and current density. At the same time, it is not allowed to violate the levitation condition  $P_T=F_E$  and exceed the overheating of the windings above the norm for these parameters [1-6].

Therefore, the stabilizer must be designed according to the superheat temperature of the windings, while the nominal values of magnetic induction and current density must be satisfied, as well as the fulfillment of the levitation condition. To achieve this goal, first of all, analytical relationships between the initial data, current density, winding overheating temperature, levitation winding stroke and geometric dimensions should be determined.

## 2. RELATIONS BETWEEN GEOMETRIC DIMENSIONS OF THE CORE AND WINDING

In most cases, according to the calculations, we have  $h_1 >> c$  and H >> c, as a result of which the principle of proportionality is violated and the design of the stabilizer is inefficient. For example, with a large height of the stabilizer in relation to the width and thickness, it is difficult to ensure its vertical position and operation becomes more complicated, and in some cases the manufacturing technology. To ensure the principle of proportionality, it is necessary to analyze the range of certain ratios between the cross-section of the windings and the core, as well as the optimal ratios of mathematical expressions [7-8].

According to the expression for the maximum voltage value  $U_{1\text{max}}$ , the cross-sectional area of the core is determined [6]:

$$S = 2ab = \frac{k_u U_{1\max}\sqrt{2}}{\omega k_{3c} W_{1\min} B_M} = \frac{k_u U_{1\max}}{\omega k_{3c} I_{1\max} B_M} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{P_T}}$$
(1)

$$S_c = A_0 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k_{3c} B_M} \tag{2}$$

where,  $A_0 = \frac{k_u U_{1\text{max}}}{\omega W_{1\text{min}}}$ ;  $B_M$  is the maximum allowable

value of the core induction. To define  $A_0$  [2]:

$$h_{\max} = \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{2P_T\lambda}} - \frac{h_1}{3n_{\lambda}}; h_{\min} + \frac{h_1}{3n_{\lambda}} = \frac{X_M}{k_{cu} - 1}$$
(3)

$$h_{\max} = h_{\min} + X_M \tag{4}$$

From mathematical expressions is determined [2]:

$$\frac{A_0}{\sqrt{2P_T\lambda}} = X_M \left(\frac{U_{1\max}}{\Delta U_1}\right)$$
(5)

From here:

$$A_0 = X_M \sqrt{2P_T \lambda} \left( \frac{U_{1\text{max}}}{\Delta U_1} \right) \tag{6}$$

If the resulting expression is written in (2):

$$S_{c} = \frac{U_{1\max}}{k_{3c}B_{M}} \sqrt{\frac{2k_{u}I_{1}X_{M}\lambda}{\omega(\Delta U_{1})}}$$
(7)

As the current  $I_1$  increases, the cross-sectional area of the core increases, while  $X_M$  and  $\lambda$  should decrease. The decrease in specific magnetic conductivity  $\lambda$  is associated with a decrease in the ratio (b/c). To prevent violation of the principle of proportionality of geometric dimensions, it is necessary to determine the correct relationship between the cross-sectional area of the core and the windings. The current density of the excitation winding is defined as [5]:

$$j_{1} = \frac{I_{1\max}W_{1\min}}{k_{31}S_{01}} = \left(\frac{I_{1\max}U_{1\max}}{B_{\max}S_{01}S_{c}}\right) \left(\frac{k_{u}\sqrt{2}}{\omega k_{3c}k_{31}}\right)$$
(8)

From here:

$$\left(S_{01}S_{c}\right) = \left(\frac{k_{u}\sqrt{2}}{\omega k_{3c}k_{31}}\right) \left(\frac{U_{1\max}I_{1\max}}{j_{1}B_{\max}}\right)$$
(9)

This formula allows you to determine the smallest value of the product  $(S_{01} \times S_c)$  for given values  $U_{1\text{max}}$ ,  $I_{1\text{max}}$ ,  $B_{\text{max}}$ ,  $j_1$ , but the relationship between  $(S_{01} \times S_c)$  and  $\lambda$  does not appear. Therefore, to determine the relationship between  $S_{01}$ ,  $S_c$ ,  $\lambda$  the following expressions are used [6]:

$$S_c = \frac{k_u U_{1\max} \sqrt{2}}{\omega W_{1\min} B_{c\max} k_{3c}}$$
(10)

$$I_{1\max}W_{1\max} = j_1 k_{31} S_{01};$$

$$I_{1\max} = J_1 k_{31} K_{31}$$

$$I_{2}W_{2} = b_{2}I_{1\max}W_{1\max} = j_{2}k_{32}S_{02}$$

$$I_{1\max}W_{1\max} = \frac{k_{u}U_{1\max}}{k_{u}U_{1\max}} = \frac{k_{u}\Delta U_{1}}{k_{u}U_{1\max}}$$
(12)

$$I_{1\max}W_{1\max} = \frac{1}{\omega W_{1\min}\lambda \left(X_M + h_{\min} + \frac{h_1}{3n_{\lambda}}\right)} = \frac{1}{\omega W_{1\min}\lambda X_M} (12)$$

From here it is defined:

$$S_1^* = \frac{S_c}{S_{01}} = A_1 j_1 \lambda; S_2^* = \frac{S_c}{S_{02}} = A_2 j_2 \lambda$$
(13)

where,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are coefficients determined by the values of the parameters of the windings and the magnetic circuit:

$$A_{1} = \left(\frac{k_{31}}{k_{3c}}\right) \left(\frac{X_{M}U_{1\max}\sqrt{2}}{B_{M}\Delta U_{1}}\right)$$

$$A_{2} = b_{2} \left(\frac{k_{32}}{k_{3c}}\right) \left(\frac{X_{M}U_{1\max}\sqrt{2}}{B_{M}\Delta U_{1}}\right)$$
(14)

#### 3. RANGES OF PARAMETER CHANGE FOR DIFFERENT VALUES OF X<sub>M</sub> STROKE

Simple calculations: if  $X_M=20 \times 10^{-3}$  m;  $B_M=1.6$  Tl;  $U_{1max}=250$  V;  $\Delta U_1=92$  V;  $b_2=98 \times 10^{-2}$ ;  $k_{3c}=95 \times 10^{-2}$ ;  $k_{31}=k_{32}=5 \times 10^{-1}$ , then  $A_1=25.4 \times 10^{-3}$ ;  $A_2=25.38 \times 10^{-3}$ . For values:  $j_1=(1.5 \div 4.0) \times 10^6$  A/m<sup>2</sup>;  $\lambda=(6 \div 20) \times 10^{-6}$  Hn/m, we have [5]:  $S^* = S_1^* \approx S_2^* = \frac{S_c}{S_{01}} \approx \frac{S_c}{S_{02}} = 23 \times 10^{-2} \div 2.0$ . At  $X_M=8 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $A_1=9.88 \times 10^{-3}$ ;  $A_2=9.8 \times 10^{-3}$ ;  $S_1^* \approx S_2^* \approx (9 \div 80) \times 10^{-2}$ . An analysis of these calculations shows that the generalized choice of values  $X_M$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $j_1$  leads to the following relations:  $S_1^* = 9 \times 10^{-2}$  or  $S_1^* = 23 \times 10^{-2}$ . This violates the principle of proportionality of geometric dimensions. The results obtained when designing an AC stabilizer show:

$$S^* = S_1^* \approx S_2^* \approx (50 \div 150) \times 10^{-2}$$

Table 1 shows the ranges  $S^*$ ,  $j_1$ ,  $\lambda$  for various  $X_M$  strokes [9]. For calculations, the use of data ensures that the principle of proportionality is maintained. Figure 1 shows the dependencies  $S^*=f(X_M, j_1)$  and  $\lambda_{\min}=f(X_M, j_1)$ ,  $S^*=(50\div150)\times10^{-2}$  according to which, as  $X_M$  decreases, the power  $S^*$  decreases and  $\lambda_{\min}$  increases [10]. For the range  $S^*=(50\div150)\times10^{-2}$ , we have:  $\lambda_{\min}=12.5\times10^6$  Hn/m,  $j_1=(2.5\div4.0)\times10^6$  A/m<sup>2</sup>,  $X_M=(8\div20)\times10^{-3}$  m.

The data in Table 1 can be used to determine the geometric dimensions of an inductive levitation AC stabilizer [2-3]. For this purpose, the following sequence will be used: determining the course of the levitation winding  $X_M$ ; current density based on stroke  $j_1$ ; specific magnetic conductivity  $\lambda$  based on current density; product of sections of the core and windings  $(S_{01} \times S_c)$ ; determination of ampere turns, parameters  $A_1, A_2, S_1^*, S_2^*$ ,  $S_{01}$  and  $S_{02}$ ; dimensionless quantities  $m_a, m_c$  according to the value  $\lambda$  (from the table), geometric quantities [9-10].

Table 1. Variation range of  $j_1$ ,  $S^*$ ,  $\lambda$  parameters for different  $X_M$  strokes [6]

$X_M \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$	$j_1 \times 10^6 \text{ A/m}^2$	S*×10-2	$\lambda \times 10^{-6}$ Hn/m
8	2.5	50	20
	3.0	50÷58	17÷20
	3.5	50÷68	14.8÷20
	4.0	50÷78	12.5÷20
10	1.5		
	2.0	50÷53	19÷20
	2.5	50÷65	15.2÷20
10	3.0	50÷77	13÷20
	3.5	50÷93	11÷20
	4.0	50÷103	9.5÷20

14	1.5	50÷53	19÷20
	2.0	50÷73	13.8÷20
	2.5	50÷58	11÷20
	3.0	50÷90	9.5÷20
	3.5	50÷108	8÷20
	4.0	50÷127	7÷20
		50÷144	
16	1.5	50÷60	16.5÷20
	2.0	50÷80	12.5÷20
	2.5	50÷100	10÷20
10	3.0	50÷120	8÷20
	3.5	50÷140	7÷20
	4.0	50÷160	6.2÷20
18	1.5	50÷68	14.5÷20
	2.0	50÷93	11÷20
	2.5	50÷115	9÷20
	3.0	50÷138	7÷20
	3.5	50÷160	6.2÷20
	4.0		
	1.5	50÷78	13÷20
	2.0	50÷106	9.8÷20
20	2.5	50÷126	7.8÷20
20	3.0	50÷152	6.3÷20
	3.5	53÷150	6÷17
	4.0	$56 \pm 150$	6÷15.8



Figure 1. Dependencies  $S^* = f(X_M, j_1)$  and  $\lambda_{\min} = f(X_M, j_1)$ 

#### 4. CHARACTERISTIC FEATURES OF CALCULATION AND DESIGN

We note the characteristic features of the calculation and design of a multi-rated AC stabilizer with induction levitation [8-9]:

1) The load is connected in series with the excitation winding of the stabilizer, which is the reason for the lower voltage at the winding terminals compared to the mains voltage. The range of voltage variation at the winding terminals  $\Delta U_1$  may be greater than the range of mains voltage variation  $\Delta U_c$ . When the resistance  $R_{load}$  or load power  $P_{load}$  changes, the voltage  $U_1$  at the terminals of the field winding changes.

Therefore, with a change in  $R_{load}$  and  $P_{load}$ , it is necessary to know the dependence of the voltage  $U_1$  on the mains voltage  $U_c$ . The course of the levitation winding  $X_M$ depends on the excess voltage of the excitation winding  $\Delta U_1 = U_{1\text{max}} - U_{1\text{min}}$ , then the dependencies  $\frac{\Delta U_1}{X_M} = f(I_1)$ ;  $\Delta U_1 = f(\Delta U_c)$  are important

characteristics of the current stabilizer. The relationship between  $\Delta U_1$  and  $\Delta U_c$  is determined by the parameters  $R_{load}$  and  $P_{load}$  [1], [5].

2) The active resistance of the excitation winding is very small compared to the inductive resistance; the flowing current is constant. Therefore, the active resistance of the winding and its overheating temperature are constant; currents ( $I_1$  and  $I_2$ ), mains voltage and frequency, do not depend on the ambient temperature. The magnetomotive force (mmf) of the windings depends on the specific magnetic conductivity  $\lambda$  of the working air gap and the power of the force  $P_T$  [10]:

$$I_1 W_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}}; \ I_2 W_2 = b_2 \sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}}$$
(15)

where,  $b_2 = (98 \div 99) \times 10^{-2}$ . From the windings to the environment, heat is mainly transferred through the surface of the sides, then:

$$\tau_1 = \frac{I_1^2 r_1}{k_T S_{side1}}; \ \tau_2 = \frac{I_2^2 r_2}{k_T S_{side2}} \tag{16}$$

are marked here:  $k = \frac{W_1}{W_2}$ ;  $r = r_1 + b_2^2 k_2 r_2$ .

Usually, 
$$\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_{adm}$$
, therefore:

$$\frac{S_{side1}}{S_{side2}} = k_r \left(\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1}\right) = k_r > 1; \ k_r = 1 + \frac{1}{k^2 b_2^2} \frac{r_1}{r_2}$$
(17)

To fulfill the condition  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_{adm}$  it is necessary to provide  $S_{side1} > S_{side2}$ .

3) For an AC stabilizer with inductive levitation, the ratio of the voltage at the terminals of the excitation winding  $U_1$  to the inductive resistance of the winding  $X_1$  is a constant parameter and is equal to the stabilized current  $I_1$  [6]:

$$\frac{k_u U_1}{X_1} = \frac{k_u U_1}{\omega W_1^2 \lambda \left(h + \frac{h_1}{3n_\lambda}\right)} = I_1 = \text{const}$$
(18)

Levitation coordinate h is a linear function of voltage  $U_1$ :

$$h = \frac{k_u U_1}{\omega W_1^2 \lambda I_1} - \frac{h_1}{3n_\lambda}$$
(19)

where,  $n_{\lambda} = \lambda / \lambda_s$ .

For a given constant current value, the maximum and minimum values of the levitation coordinate are determined by the voltages  $U_{1\text{max}}$  and  $U_{1\text{min}}$  [7]:

$$h_{\max} = \frac{k_u U_{1\max}}{\omega W_1^2 \lambda I_1} - \frac{h_1}{3n_\lambda}$$
(20)

$$h_{\min} = \frac{k_u U_{1\min}}{\omega W_1^2 \lambda I_1} - \frac{h_1}{3n_\lambda}$$
(21)

The maximum stroke of the levitation winding is determined by the expression:

$$X_{M} = h_{\text{max}} - h_{\text{min}} = \frac{k_{u} \Delta U_{1}}{\omega W_{1} \lambda F_{1}} = \frac{k_{u} \Delta U_{1}}{\omega W_{1} \sqrt{2P_{T} \lambda}}$$
(22)

Thus, the course of the levitation winding is directly proportional to the voltage  $\Delta U_1$ , but inversely proportional to the stabilized current  $I_1$  or the power  $P_T$  [9-10].

4) The maximum value of the induction in the  $B_M$  core is limited by the grade of electrical steel and the currents of the three-section excitation winding are determined by the number of sections [2]:

$$I_{11} = I_{\min} = \frac{1}{W_{11}} \sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}}; \ I_{12} = I_{average} = \frac{1}{W_{12}} \sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}}$$

$$I_{13} = I_{\max} = \frac{1}{W_{13}} \sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}}$$
(23)

Given that the load currents  $I_{load1}=I_{11}$ ,  $I_{load2}=I_{12}$ ,  $I_{load3}=I_{13}$  are given, then the number of turns of the sections is determined [1-3]:

$$W_{11} = \frac{1}{I_{11}} \sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}}; \ W_{12} = \frac{1}{I_{12}} \sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}}; \ W_{13} = \frac{1}{I_{13}} \sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}}$$
(24)

For stabilizer:

$$\sqrt{\frac{2P_T}{\lambda}} = \text{const}; \ I_{11} = I_{\min}; \ I_{13} = I_{\max}$$
 (25)

For the number of turns, you can write:

$$W_{13} = W_{\min}; W_{11} = W_{\max}; W_{11} > W_{12} > W_{13}$$
 (26)

The cross-sectional area of the core is determined through  $U_{1\text{max}}$  and  $W_{1\text{min}}$  [6, 9]:

$$S = 2ab = \frac{k_u U_{1\max}\sqrt{2}}{\omega k_{3c} W_{1\min} B_M} = \frac{k_u U_{1\max}}{\omega k_{3c} I_{1\max} B_M} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{P_T}}$$
(27)

According to the expressions for the magnetomotive force (mmf) windings:

$$\frac{F_1}{F_2} = \left(\frac{j_1}{j_2}\right) \left(\frac{k_{31}}{k_{32}}\right) \left(\frac{S_{01}}{S_{02}}\right) = \frac{1}{b_2}$$
(28)

From here, for the ratio of the current density of the windings, we can write:

$$\frac{j_1}{j_2} = b_2 \left(\frac{S_{02}}{S_{01}}\right) \left(\frac{k_{32}}{k_{31}}\right) < 1$$
(29)

where,  $S_{02}/S_{01} < 1$ ;  $b_2 < 1$ ;  $k_{31} \approx k_{32}$ .

As can be seen, the current density of the excitation winding  $j_1$  is less than the current density of the levitation winding  $j_2$ . This ratio does not take into account the overheating temperature of the windings, which requires the definition of another mathematical expression.

Solving the problems of calculation and design for an AC stabilizer with induction levitation consists of several stages, namely: determination of the initial parameters, output characteristics for the criteria and analysis of the calculation, analytical expressions for the relationship between the initial data and output parameters, development of recommendations for improving the output parameters, choice of design, determination of criteria for optimizing parameters and calculating a multinominal stabilizer with a levitation winding. The task includes the value of the mains voltage  $U_c$ , the range of change  $\Delta U_c=U_{cmax}-U_{cmin}$ ,  $U_{nom}$  and the load current  $I_{load}$ .

In accordance with the initial position of the levitation winding, the levitation coordinate *h* is calculated on the load current  $I_{load}$  and voltage  $U_{load}$ . The calculation takes into account the influence of the ambient temperature and the normal temperature of overheating, the contact of the levitation winding on the upper yoke, according to the difference  $\Delta U=U_{max}-U_{min}$ , the minimum working stroke of the levitation winding, the maximum induction limit in the core  $B_{max}$ .

For this purpose, dependencies [5-6] are investigated: excess voltage at the terminals of the excitation winding  $\Delta U_1=U_{1\text{max}}-U_{1\text{min}}$  on the change in mains voltage  $\Delta U_c=U_{c\text{max}}-U_{c\text{min}}$ ; maximum travel  $X_M$  against overvoltage of the field winding  $\Delta U_1$ ; input  $S_{load}$ , output  $P_{load}$ , electromagnetic forces and winding powers  $(S_1, S_2)$  from the initial data;  $X_M$  stroke and stabilization current period from the initial data; load current  $I_{load}$  on ambient temperature  $\theta_{ok}$  and overheating temperature of windings  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ ; main dimensions, power, electromagnetic loads (induction in the core  $B_c$  and current density j) on the overheating temperature of the windings; excess of the induction in the core  $\Delta B_c$ , excess of the change in the mains voltage  $\Delta U_c$  from the load power and the total power  $S_{load}$ .

#### **5. CONCLUSIONS**

The relationship between the ratio  $\Delta U_1 / X_M$  and the load current  $I_{load}=I_1$  shows that with an increase in the nominal values of the stabilized load current, the voltage drops  $\Delta U_1$  decreases. As a result, with an increase in the load current  $I_{load}$ , the increase in the stroke of the levitation winding of the  $X_M$  is large, which causes an increase in the height of the stabilizer. In order to limit the growth of the  $X_M$  travel, gravity must be sized for the maximum load current. With an increase in the voltage drop  $\Delta U_c$ , the stroke  $X_M$  of the levitation winding increases.

Analytical expressions for the relationship between the coefficient  $n_{\lambda}$  characterizing the stepped shape of the core and the ratio  $X_M^* = \frac{X_M}{h_1}$  are obtained. For straight cores  $n_{\lambda}=1$ , and for stepped cores  $n_{\lambda}=(1.1 \pm 1.4)$ . For the optimal size of the stabilizer  $X_M = (133 \pm 265) \times 10^{-3}$ , the dependence  $X^* = f \begin{pmatrix} U_{\text{max}} \end{pmatrix}$  is linear and the coefficient  $n_{\lambda}$  also

 $X_M^* = f\left(\frac{U_{\text{max}}}{U_{\text{min}}}\right)$  is linear and the coefficient  $n_\lambda$  also

increases with growth  $x_M^*$ . Therefore, to reduce the height of the stabilizer, a stepped core shape is necessary. For this reason, the ratio  $n_{e1} = \frac{h_1}{c_1}$  should be as small as possible. A decrease in this ratio contributes to the creation

of a magnetic neutral and causes a decrease in lift.

The equations of functional relationships between the cross section of the core and windings ( $S_c$ ,  $S_o$ ), conductivity  $\lambda$ , stroke  $X_M$  and current density  $j_1$  are determined. The ranges of change defined for conductivity  $\lambda$ , current density  $j_1$  and stroke  $X_M$  retain the principle of proportionality of the geometric dimensions used in the design of the stabilizer.

#### REFERENCES

[1] G.S. Kerimzade, "Optimization of Parameters and the Geometrical Sizes the Precision Stabilizer of the Alternating Current", The 3rd International Conference on Technical and Physical Problems in Power Engineering (ICTPE-2006), pp. 701-703, Ankara, Turkey, 29-31 May 2006.

[2] Ya.R. Abdullaev, G.S. Kerimzade, G.V. Mamedova, "Converter Predesign Efforts", The 5th International Conference on Technical and Physical Problems of Power Engineering (TPE-2009), pp. 61-63, Bilbao, Spain, 3-5 September 2009.

[3] Ya.R. Abdullaev, G.S. Kerimzade, G.V. Mamedova, "Calculation of the Power Converter Moving with Levitation Screen", International Conference Electronics (ECAI), 3rd Edition, No. 2. pp. 75-78, Pitesti, Romania, 3-5 July 2009.

[4] G.S. Kerimzade, "Contactless Electric Devices Watching System", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 2, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 42-44, March 2010.

[5] G.S. Kerimzade, "Determination of the Optimal Geometric Dimensions of the Peremonial Current Stabilizer, Taking into Account the Temperature of the Overflow of Windings", Electrical Engineering, No. 9, pp. 40-43, Moscow, Russia, 2013.

[6] Ya.R. Abdullaev, G.S. Kerimzade, "Design of Electric Devices with LE", Electrical Engineering, No. 5, pp. 16-22, Moscow, Russia, 2015.

[7] G.S. Kerimzade, G.V. Mamedova, "Working Modes for Designing Electrical Apparatuses with Induction-MI with Levitation Elements", Bulletin Buildings, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 42-46, Baku, Azerbaijan, 2015.

[8] G.S. Kerimzade, G.V. Mamedova, "Analysis of the Parameters of Electric Devices with Levitational Elements", News of Universities Instrumentation, No. 12, Vol. 61, pp. 67-71, Sant-Petersburg, Russia, 2018.

[9] G.S. Kerimzade, "Analytical Connections of the Parameters and Sizes of Precision Stabilizer of Alternating Current using the Effect of Inductive Levitation", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 52, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 175-184, September 2022.

[10] G.S. Kerimzade, "Analysis of the Methodology for Calculation Current Stabilizer with Induction Levitation", International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), Issue 53, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 170-174, December 2022.

### BIOGRAPHY



First Name: Gulshen Middle Name: Sanan Surname: Kerimzade Birthday: 09.08.1967 Birth Place: Baku, Azerbaijan Bachelor: Electrical Engineering and Electrical Devices, Faculty

of

Electromechanics, Azerbaijan Institute of Oil and Chemistry, Baku, Azerbaijan, 1990

Master: Eelectrical and Electronic Devices and Systems, Department of Electrical Machines and Apparatus, Energy Faculty, Azerbaijan State University of Oil and Industry, Baku, Azerbaijan, 1998

Doctorate: Electrical Apparatus and Electromechanics, Department of Electromechanics, Azerbaijan State University of Oil and Industry, Baku, Azerbaijan, 2004

The Last Scientific Position: Associate Professor, Department of Electromechanics, Azerbaijan State University of Oil and Industry, Baku, Azerbaijan, 2011

Research Interests: Electrical and Electronic Devices, Automation Control Devices

Scientific Publications: 108 Papers, 20 Scientific-Methodical Sentences