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#### Abstract

The presented work shows the characteristics of stabilizers with levitation winding, as well as technical and economic indicators of devices for automatic control systems, test benches and galvanic elements [12-15]. Determination of output characteristics, analytical expressions of parameters and initial data of an electromechanical device with a levitation element when considering design issues is one of the necessary steps in the algorithm for solving the problem. The initial data for calculating an AC stabilizer with induction levitation are: the range of mains voltage change $\Delta U_{c}$, load currents $I_{\text {load } 1}$, $I_{\text {load } 2}, \ldots . . I_{\text {loadn }}$, mains voltage frequency $\omega$, load resistance $R_{\text {load }}$ (or power $P_{\text {load }}$ ), travel of the $X_{M}$ moving part. The main task of designing an AC stabilizer with induction levitation is to determine the analytical relationships between geometric dimensions and initial data.The equations of functional relationships between the cross section of the core and windings ( $S_{c}, S_{o}$ ), conductivity $\lambda$, stroke $X_{M}$ and current density $j_{1}$ are determined. The ranges of change defined for conductivity $\lambda$, current density $j_{1}$ and stroke $X_{M}$ retain the principle of proportionality of the geometric dimensions used in the design of the stabilizer.


The relationship between the ratio $\frac{\Delta U_{1}}{X_{M}}$ and the load current $I_{\text {load }}=I_{1}$ shows that with an increase in the nominal values of the stabilized load current, the voltage drop $\Delta U_{1}$ decreases.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The basis of the issue of solving design problems is the establishment of the relationship of analytical expressions between the initial data, geometric dimensions and parameters. For this purpose, it is necessary to develop a mathematical model of a system of expressions, the solution of which allows you to establish analytical relationships between the initial data and parameters such as $x_{M}, P_{b}, S_{o}$ and $S_{c}, P_{M}$. The initial parameters for calculating an AC stabilizer with a levitation element
include: $\Delta U_{c}, I_{\text {load1 } 1}, I_{\text {load } 2}, \ldots, I_{\text {loadn }}, \omega, R_{\text {load }}$ or $P_{\text {load }}$, as well $h_{M}$ or $h_{\text {min }}$ [9-13].

The stability of the load current is a distinctive condition for ensuring the specified accuracy of the equipment, for testing, etc. Therefore, in various calculation methods, when solving problems, non-linear current-voltage characteristics of the elements used in stabilizer circuits are used. The movable anchor under the action of weight forces $P_{b}$ and $F_{e}$ determines the equilibrium position $F_{e}=P_{b}$ (levitation condition).

## 2. CALCULATION OF SECTIONAL EXCITATION WINDING

Figure 1 shows the distribution schemes of stray magnetic fluxes and the dimensions of the sectional coils. Number of coils turns [5, 9-11]:
$W_{10}=\frac{F_{0}}{I_{10}} ; W_{20}=\frac{F_{0}}{I_{20}} ; W_{30}=\frac{F_{0}}{I_{30}}$
where, $W_{30}>W_{20}>W_{10} ; W_{10}=W_{\min } ; W_{30}=W_{\max }$;
$I_{10}=I_{1}=I_{\max } ; I_{30}=I_{\min } ; F_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{2 P}{\lambda}}$.
According to height $h_{10,}, h_{21}, h_{32}$ the number of turns is determined $W_{21}$ and $W_{32}$ [1-3]:
$W_{10}=W_{1}=\frac{F_{1}}{I_{1}} ; W_{21}=W_{20}-W_{10} ; W_{32}=W_{30}-W_{20}$
At $I_{10}>I_{20}>I_{30}$, to reduce the size is accepted $j_{10}=j_{20}=j_{30}$ and then $q_{10}<q_{20}<q_{30}$, because:
$q_{10}=\frac{I_{10}}{j_{1}} ; q_{20}=\frac{I_{20}}{j_{1}} ; q_{30}=\frac{I_{30}}{j_{1}} ; j_{1}=\frac{I_{1}}{q_{1}}$
Given that $q_{30}<q_{20}<q_{10}$ the copper filling factors of sectional coils $k_{3}$ are different. In practice, for most brands of wire windings with processed insulation, a fairly accurate coefficient $k_{3}$ is determined by the formula:
$k_{3} \approx 0.62 \sqrt[4]{d}=0.64 \sqrt[8]{q}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{I}$
where, $d=1.13 \sqrt{q}$ is wire diameter without insulation.
For sectional coils $h_{12}, h_{23}, h_{30}$ high, you can write [2]:
$k_{3}^{\prime}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{I_{10}} ; k_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{I_{20}} ; k_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}=k_{31}=\sqrt[8]{I_{30}}$
Dimensions are determined $h_{12}, h_{23}, h_{30}$ [5]:
$h_{10}=\frac{I_{10} W_{10}}{j_{1} c_{1} k_{3}^{\prime}} ; h_{21}=\frac{I_{20} W_{21}}{j_{1} c_{1} k_{3}^{\prime \prime}} ; h_{32}=\frac{I_{30} W_{32}}{j_{1} c_{1} k_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}}$

The total height of the sectional excitation winding:
$h_{30}=h_{10}+h_{21}+h_{32}=h_{20}+h_{32}$
where,
$h_{20}=h_{10}+h_{21}$
Section leakage inductance:
$L_{S}^{\prime}=W_{10}^{2} \lambda_{S} \frac{h_{10}}{3} ; L_{S}^{\prime \prime}=W_{20}^{2} \lambda_{S} \frac{h_{20}}{3} ; L_{S}^{\prime \prime \prime}=W_{30}^{2} \lambda_{S} \frac{h_{30}}{3}$
The number of turns and currents are different, so for each section the levitation coordinate is determined separately. From expressions [10]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{10}=\frac{k_{u} U_{\max }}{\omega W_{10}^{2} \lambda\left(h_{\max }^{\prime}+\frac{h_{12}^{\prime}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right)} \\
& I_{20}=\frac{k_{u} U_{\max }}{\omega W_{20}^{2} \lambda\left(h_{\max }^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h_{12}^{\prime \prime}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right)-x_{c 2}}  \tag{10}\\
& I_{30}=\frac{k_{u} U_{\max }}{\omega W_{30}^{2} \lambda\left(h_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{h_{12}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right)-x_{c 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

defined:
$h_{\max }^{\prime}=m_{\max } I_{10}-\frac{h_{12}^{\prime}}{3 n_{\lambda}}$
$h_{\max }^{\prime \prime}=m_{\max } I_{20}-\frac{h_{12}^{\prime \prime}}{3 n_{\lambda}}+\frac{x_{c 2}}{\omega W_{20}^{2} \lambda}$
$h_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime}=m_{\max } I_{30}-\frac{h_{12}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3 n_{\lambda}}+\frac{x_{c 3}}{\omega W_{30}^{2} \lambda}$
where,
$m_{\max }=\frac{k_{u} I_{10}}{\omega \lambda F_{0}^{2}}$
From Equations (11) and (12) under the condition $h_{\text {max }}^{\prime}=h_{\text {max }}^{\prime \prime}$ we have:
$x_{c 2}=\omega W_{20}^{2} \lambda\left[U_{\max }\left(m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{h_{12}^{\prime \prime}-h_{12}^{\prime}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right]$
From Equations (11) and (13) under the condition: $h_{\text {max }}^{\prime}=h_{\text {max }}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ we have:
$x_{c 3}=\omega W_{30}^{2} \lambda\left[U_{\max }\left(m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{h_{12}^{\prime \prime \prime}-h_{12}^{\prime}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{12}^{\prime \prime}-h_{12}^{\prime}=h_{20}-h_{10} ; h_{12}^{\prime \prime \prime}-h_{12}^{\prime}=h_{30}-h_{10} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $U_{\max }\left(m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(I_{10}-I_{20}\right) m_{\max }$

$$
U_{\max }\left(m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(I_{10}-I_{30}\right) m_{\max }
$$

then;

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{c 2}=\omega W_{20}^{2} \lambda\left[m_{\max }\left(I_{10}-I_{20}\right)-\frac{h_{20}-h_{10}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right] \\
& x_{c 3}=\omega W_{30}^{2} \lambda\left[m_{\max }\left(I_{10}-I_{30}\right)-\frac{h_{30}-h_{10}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. Calculation of the sectional excitation winding

## 3. METHOD OF CALCULATION OF STABILIZER ON THE PRINCIPLE OF INDUCTION

## LEVITATION

Given: $\quad U_{\max }=250 \mathrm{~V} ; \quad U_{\text {min }}=160 \mathrm{~V} ; \quad I_{1}=I_{10}=2 \mathrm{~A}$; $I_{20}=1.5 \mathrm{~A} ; I_{30}=0.5 \mathrm{~A} ; \omega=314 ; \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; B_{c}=1.5 \mathrm{Tl}$.

1. According to the calculated values [9-11], the following are selected: $n_{e 2}=5.167 ; \quad m_{a}=2 ; \quad m_{c}=2 ; \quad \sigma_{b}=1.599$; $\lambda=8.03 \times 10^{-6}$.
2. The number of turns and mmf windings are determined:
$W_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{k_{u} \Delta U}{\omega I_{1} X_{p} \lambda}}=\sqrt{\frac{0.96 \times 90}{314 \times 2 \times 26 \times 10^{-3} \times 8.03 \times 10^{-6}}}=812$
$F_{1}=I_{1} W_{1}=2 \times 812=1624 ; F_{2}=b_{2} F_{1}=0.98 \times 1624=1591.5$, where, $X_{p}=26 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$.
3. The force of gravity of the middle rod of the magnetic circuit [4-7] and the cross section are determined:
$P=n_{k} P_{T}=1.05 \times 10.01=10.5$
$P_{T}=g \gamma k_{32} S_{02} l_{c p 2}=9.81 \times 8.9 \times 10^{3} \times 0.6 \times 1027 \times 10^{-6} \times$
$\times 186.12 \times 10^{-3}=10.01$
$S_{c}=\frac{k_{u} \Delta U_{\max } \sqrt{2}}{\omega k_{c} B_{c} W_{1}}=\frac{0.96 \times 250 \times \sqrt{2}}{314 \times 0.92 \times 1.5 \times 812}=964.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ 4. The geometric dimensions of the levitation winding are determined [6-8]:
$c=\sqrt{\frac{S_{c} m_{a}}{2 m_{c}^{2}}}=\sqrt{\frac{964.6 \times 10^{-6} \times 2}{2 \times 2^{2}}}=15.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$c_{2}=\frac{c}{n_{02}}=\frac{15.5 \times 10^{-3}}{1.1}=14.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} . ; h_{2}=c_{2} n_{e 2}=$
$=14.1 \times 10^{-3} \times 5.167=72.85 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$l_{c p 2}=2 c_{2} n_{02} \frac{n_{1}}{m_{a}}=2 \times 14.1 \times 10^{-3} \times 1.1 \times \frac{12}{2}=186.12 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$n_{1}=2 m_{a}+2 m_{c}+m_{a} m_{c}=2 \times 2+2 \times 2+2 \times 20=12$
$b=m_{c} c=2 \times 15.5 \times 10^{-3}=31 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$a=\frac{b}{m_{a}}=\frac{31 \times 10^{-3}}{2}=15.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
4. Sections are defined [7-8]:
$S_{02}=c_{2} h_{2}=14.1 \times 10^{-3} \times 72.85 \times 10^{-3}=1027.2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$S_{\text {cool } 2}=h_{2}\left(l_{c p 2}+4 c_{2}\right)=72.85 \times 10^{-3} \times$
$\times\left(186.12 \times 10^{-3}+4 \times 14.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)=17667.58 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
5. Defined [7-8]:
$j_{2}=\frac{F_{2}}{k_{32} S_{02}}=\frac{1591.5}{0.6 \times 1027.2 \times 10^{-6}}=2.58 \times 10^{-6}$;
$\rho_{2}=2.422 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{Om} \times \mathrm{m}$
$P_{2}=b_{2}^{2} F_{1}^{2} \frac{\rho_{2} l_{c p 2}}{k_{32} S_{02}}=0.98^{2} \times 1624^{2} \times \frac{\rho_{2} l_{c p 2}}{k_{32} S_{02}}=18.51 \mathrm{Vt}$
6. Checked [5-8]:
$\tau_{2}=\frac{F_{2}^{2} \rho_{2} l_{c p 2}}{k_{32} S_{02} k_{T} S_{c o o l 2}}=$
$=\frac{1591.5^{2} \times 2.42 \times 10^{-8} \times 186.12 \times 10^{-3}}{0.6 \times 1027.2 \times 10^{-6} \times 13 \times 17667.58 \times 10^{-6}}=80.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
7. When $I_{2}=I_{1}=2 \mathrm{~A}$, is determined [5-6]:
$W_{2}=b_{2} W_{1}=0.98 \times 812=796$
8. Given $n=1$ and determined $h_{1}=h_{2}=72.85 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$.
9. In Equation (13) and (14), coefficients are calculated:
$n_{1}=1.572 ; n_{2}=1.235 ; n_{c}=2.147$.
10. Dimensions are determined [6-7] :
$c^{\prime}=\left(n_{c} \times c\right)=\left(2.147 \times 15.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)=33.28 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$c_{1}=\frac{c^{\prime}}{n_{01}}=\frac{33.28 \times 10^{-3}}{1.03}=32.31 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$l_{2}=l_{c p 2}-4 c=186.12 \times 10^{-3}-4 \times 15.5 \times 10^{-3}=$
$=124.12 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$l_{c p 1}=l_{2}+4 c n_{c}=124.12 \times 10^{-3}+4 \times 15.5 \times 10^{-3} \times 2.147=$
$=257.23 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
11. For the excitation winding [2-6] are determined: $S_{01}$ and $S_{\text {cool } 1}$ :
$S_{01}=c_{1} h_{1}=32.31 \times 10^{-3} \times 72.85 \times 10^{-3}=2353.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ $S_{\text {cool } 1}=h_{1}\left(l_{c p 1}+4 c_{1}\right)=72.85(257.23+4 \times 32.31) \times 10^{-6}=$ $=28154.3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
12. The current density, power, losses and overheating temperature of the excitation winding are determined:
$j_{1}=\frac{F_{1}}{k_{31} S_{01}}=\frac{1624}{0.6 \times 2353.8 \times 10^{-6}}=1.15 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$P_{1}=F_{1}^{2} \frac{\rho_{1} l_{c p 1}}{k_{31} S_{01}}=1624^{2} \frac{2.42 \times 10^{-8} \times 257.23 \times 10^{-3}}{0.6 \times 2353.8 \times 10^{-6}}=11.6 \mathrm{Vt}$
$\tau_{1}=\frac{P_{1}+P_{2}}{k_{T} S_{\text {cool } 1}}=\frac{11.6+18.51}{13 \times 28154.4 \times 10^{-6}}=80.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
13. Coefficients are determined [5-9]:
$m_{c}^{\prime}=\frac{b}{c^{\prime}}=\frac{31 \times 10^{-3}}{33.28 \times 10^{-3}}=0.93$
$\sigma_{b}^{\prime}=1+\frac{2.92}{m_{c}^{\prime}} \lg \left(1+\frac{\pi}{m_{a}}\right)=1+\frac{2.92}{0.93} \ln \left(1+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=2.287$
$n_{\lambda}=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{S}}=\frac{8.03}{5.34}=1.5$
where,
$\lambda_{S}=2 \mu_{0} m_{c}^{\prime} \sigma_{b}^{\prime}=2 \times 1.256 \times 10^{-6} \times 0.93 \times 2.287=5.34 \times 10^{-6}$
14. Dimensions are determined:
$h_{12}=h_{1}+n_{\lambda} h_{2}=(72.85+1.5 \times 72.85) \times 10^{-3}=182.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$ $h_{\max }=m^{\prime} U_{\max }-\frac{h_{12}}{3 n_{\lambda}}=0.29 \times 10^{-3} \times 250-\frac{182.1}{3 \times 1.5} \times 10^{-3}=$ $=32.03 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$h_{\text {min }}=m^{\prime} U_{\text {min }}-\frac{h_{12}}{3 n_{\lambda}}=0.29 \times 10^{-3} \times 160-\frac{182.1}{3 \times 1.5} \times 10^{-3}=$
$5.93 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
where,
$m^{\prime}=\frac{k_{u}}{\omega F_{1} W_{1} \lambda}=\frac{0.96}{314 \times 1624 \times 812 \times 8.03 \times 10^{-6}}=0.29 \times 10^{-3}$
Examination [1-3]:
$X_{p}=\left(h_{\text {max }}-h_{\text {min }}\right)=(32.03-5.92) \times 10^{-3}=26.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
15. For wire turns $W_{10}, W_{21}, W_{32}$ current densities are determined:
$q_{10}=q_{1}=\frac{I_{10}}{j_{1}}=\frac{2}{1.15 \times 10^{6}}=1.739 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$q_{20}=\frac{I_{20}}{j_{1}}=\frac{1}{1.15 \times 10^{6}}=0.87 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$q_{30}=\frac{I_{30}}{j_{1}}=\frac{0.5}{1.15 \times 10^{6}}=0.435 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
16. The coefficients are determined by Equation (5):
$k_{3}^{\prime}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{I_{10}}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{2}=0.588$
$k_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{I_{20}}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{1}=0.54$
$k_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{I_{30}}=0.54 \sqrt[8]{0.5}=0.495$
17. The number of turns of sections is determined:
$W_{10}=\frac{F_{1}}{I_{10}}=\frac{1624}{2}=812 ; W_{20}=\frac{F_{1}}{I_{20}}=\frac{1624}{1}=1624 ;$
$W_{30}=\frac{F_{1}}{I_{30}}=\frac{1624}{0.5}=3248$
$W_{21}=W_{20}-W_{10}=1624-812=812$
$W_{32}=W_{30}-W_{20}=3248-1624=1624$
18. The height of sections [7-10] is determined:
$h_{10}=\frac{I_{10} W_{10}}{j_{1} c_{1} k_{3}^{\prime}}=\frac{2 \times 812}{1.15 \times 10^{6} \times 32.31 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.588}=74.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$h_{21}=\frac{I_{20} W_{21}}{j_{1} c_{1} k_{3}^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{1 \times 812}{1.15 \times 10^{6} \times 32.31 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.54}=40.45 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$h_{32}=\frac{I_{30} W_{32}}{j_{1} c_{1} k_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}}=\frac{0.5 \times 1624}{1.15 \times 10^{6} \times 32.31 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.495}=44.15 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$h_{30}=h_{10}+h_{21}+h_{32}=(74.3+40.45+44.15) \times 10^{-3}=$
$=158.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$h_{20}=h_{10}+h_{21}=(74.3+40.45) \times 10^{-3}=114.75 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
19. Dimensions and coefficients are determined:
$h_{12}^{\prime}=h_{10}+n_{\lambda} h_{2}=74.3 \times 10^{-3}+1.5 \times 72.85 \times 10^{-3}=183.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$h_{12}^{\prime \prime}=h_{20}+n_{\lambda} h_{2}=114.75 \times 10^{-3}+1.5 \times 72.85 \times 10^{-3}=224.03 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$h_{12}^{\prime \prime \prime}=h_{30}+n_{\lambda} h_{2}=158.9 \times 10^{-3}+1.5 \times 72.85 \times 10^{-3}=268.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$
$m_{\max }=\frac{k_{u} U_{\max }}{\omega F_{0}^{2} \lambda}=\frac{0.96 \times 250}{314 \times 1624^{2} \times 8.03 \times 10^{-6}}=36 \times 10^{-3}$
$m_{\text {min }}=\frac{k_{u} U_{\min }}{\omega F_{0}^{2} \lambda}=\frac{0.96 \times 160}{314 \times 1624^{2} \times 8.03 \times 10^{-6}}=23 \times 10^{-3}$
20. Capacitance resistances are determined [2-4]:
$x_{c 2}=\omega W_{20}^{2} \lambda\left[m_{\max }\left(I_{10}-I_{20}\right)+\frac{h_{20}-h_{10}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right]=314 \times 1624^{2} \times$
$\times 8.03 \times 10^{-6} \times\left[36 \times 10^{-3}(2-1)+\frac{114.75 \times 10^{-3}-74.3 \times 10^{-3}}{3 \times 1.5}\right]=299.2$
$x_{c 3}=\omega W_{30}^{2} \lambda\left[m_{\max }\left(I_{10}-I_{30}\right)+\frac{h_{30}-h_{10}}{3 n_{\lambda}}\right]=314 \times 3248^{2} \times$
$\times 8.03 \times 10^{-6} \times\left[36 \times 10^{-3}(2-0.5)+\frac{158.9 \times 10^{-3}-74.3 \times 10^{-3}}{3 \times 1.5}\right]=1936.46$

## 4. CALCULATED VALUES AND DEPENDENCIES

The data in Table 1 can be used to determine the geometric dimensions of an inductive levitation AC stabilizer. For this purpose, the following sequence will be used: determining the course of the levitation winding $X_{M}$; current density based on stroke $j_{1}$; specific magnetic conductivity $\lambda$ based on current density; product of sections of the core and windings ( $S_{01} \times S_{c}$ ); determination of ampere turns, parameters $A_{1}, A_{2}, S_{1}{ }^{*}, S_{2}{ }^{*}, S_{01}$ and $S_{02}$; dimensionless quantities $m_{a}, m_{c}$ according to the value $\lambda$ (from Table 1), geometric quantities [5, 9-13].

Table 1 shows the ranges $S^{*}, j_{1}, \lambda$ for various $X_{M}$ strokes. For calculations, the use of data ensures that the principle of proportionality is maintained.

Figure 2 shows the dependencies $S^{*}=f\left(X_{M}, j_{1}\right)$ and $\lambda_{\text {min }}=f\left(X_{M}, j_{1}\right), S^{*}=(50 \div 150) \times 10^{-2}$ according to which, as $X_{M}$ decreases, the power $S^{*}$ decreases and $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ increases. For the range $S^{*}=(50 \div 150) \times 10^{-2}$, we have: $\lambda_{\text {min }}=12.5 \times 10^{6}$ $\mathrm{Hn} / \mathrm{m}$., $j_{1}=(2.5 \div 4.0) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, X_{M}=(8 \div 20) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

For different variants of current stabilizers, different calculation steps are used. The connection of the excitation winding sections of these stabilizers allows you to get different rated currents. The implementation of the technical task for the device at the design stage is a stage in the algorithm for solving questions for the AC stabilizer on the principle of the effect of induction levitation, and this determines analytical expressions between parameters and initial data, as well as output characteristics.


Figure 2. Dependencies $S^{*}=f\left(X_{M}, j_{1}\right)$ and $\lambda_{\text {min }}=f\left(X_{M}, j_{1}\right)$
Table 1. Variation range of $j_{1}, S^{*}, \lambda$ parameters for different $X_{M}$ strokes

| $X_{M} \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{~m}$ | $j_{1} \times 10^{6}, \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $S^{*} \times 10^{-2}$ | $\lambda \times 10^{-6}, \mathrm{Hn} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 2.5 | 50 | 20 |
|  | 3.0 | $50 \div 58$ | $17 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.5 | $50 \div 68$ | $14.8 \div 20$ |
|  | 4.0 | $50 \div 78$ | $12.5 \div 20$ |
| 10 | 1.5 | --- | ---- |
|  | 2.0 | $50 \div 53$ | $19 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.5 | $50 \div 65$ | $15.2 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.0 | $50 \div 77$ | $13 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.5 | $50 \div 93$ | $11 \div 20$ |
|  | 4.0 | $50 \div 103$ | $9.5 \div 20$ |
| 14 | 1.5 | $50 \div 53$ | $19 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.0 | $50 \div 73$ | $13.8 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.5 | $50 \div 58$ | $11 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.0 | $50 \div 90$ | $9.5 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.5 | 50 $\div 108$ | $8 \div 20$ |
|  | 4.0 | $50 \div 127$ | $7 \div 20$ |
|  |  | $50 \div 144$ |  |
| 16 | 1.5 | $50 \div 60$ | $16.5 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.0 | $50 \div 80$ | $12.5 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.5 | $50 \div 100$ | $10 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.0 | $50 \div 120$ | $8 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.5 | $50 \div 140$ | $7 \div 20$ |
|  | 4.0 | $50 \div 160$ | $6.2 \div 20$ |
| 18 | 1.5 | $50 \div 68$ | $14.5 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.0 | $50 \div 93$ | $11 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.5 | $50 \div 115$ | $9 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.0 | $50 \div 138$ | $7 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.5 | $50 \div 160$ | $6.2 \div 20$ |
|  | 4.0 | --- |  |
| 20 | 1.5 | $50 \div 78$ | $13 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.0 | $50 \div 106$ | $9.8 \div 20$ |
|  | 2.5 | $50 \div 126$ | $7.8 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.0 | $50 \div 152$ | $6.3 \div 20$ |
|  | 3.5 | $53 \div 150$ | $6 \div 17$ |
|  | 4.0 | $56 \div 150$ | $6 \div 15.8$ |

For the design and calculation of the stabilizer on the principle of levitation, when performing the technical specifications, between the geometric dimensions and the main parameters of the device, analytical expressions of the ratios [12-15] are established. Based on the equations of the mathematical model, as well as the magnetomotive forces of the windings (currents, overheating, induction in the steel of the magnetic circuit and mechanical forces), an AC stabilizer with a levitation winding is calculated.
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